



Global Distribution of Aerosol and Aerosol Forcing from CALIOP Observations

Dave Winker¹, Jason Tackett², Brian Getzewich², and Seiji Kato¹

1) NASA LaRC, 2) SSAI, Hampton, VA

Aerocom Workshop, Seattle, 10-13 Sept 2012

Summary

- A monthly, gridded, global aerosol profile product has been produced from CALIOP Level 2 aerosol data
 - June 2006 Dec 2011
 - 60 m vertical resolution, 0 12 km
 - Beta-version product currently available from ASDC
- Initial characterization/validation performed
- Manuscript submitted to ACP (Winker et al, 2012)
- Optimization studies now underway
- Initial aerosol direct radiative effect calculations
- Release of improved profile product planned for 2013

Four types of extinction profiles, 2008 annual mean Day Night **Cloud**free 0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 AOD Integral of mean extinction profiles AOD *Integral of mean extinction profiles All-sky 0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 AOD "Integral of mean extinction profiles AOD "Integral of mean extinction profiles

2008 annual: night, all-sky







months since launch

Months since launch

CALIOP employs an algorithm to estimate aerosol type based on measured lidar signals

- depolarization provides robust identification of dust



Dust AOD fraction

Average Aerosol Type, JJA 2008



Validation of Global Aerosol Profiles

'SODA' retrieval: column AOD from ocean surface returns (no microphysical assumptions)			error source	CALIOP	"SODA"
			calibration	\checkmark	
CloudSat	AMSR-E H ₂ O attenuation correction	CALIOP Column transmittance	cloud clearing	\checkmark	\checkmark
Surface reflectance			detection sensitivity	\checkmark	
			lidar ratio	\checkmark	
			H2O attenuation		\checkmark
			surface reflectance		
				uuuu	

Ocean surface

W

(Josset, Pelon et al, GRL, 2008)





Differences due to:

lidar ratios used (derived vs. estimated) Level 2 detection limits (> 1 k m) potential AOD error in SODA







Summary of initial profile evaluations

- Level 3 profiles appear to be representative:
 - up to altitudes of 4-6 km
 - for extinction greater than 0.001 km⁻¹ (in most regions)
- Comparisons against HSRL and in situ measurements are generally consistent with SODA comparisons
- Even where CALIOP extinction is biased low, bias is no greater than about 0.001 km⁻¹
- Accuracy of full-column retrievals limited by calibration to about 0.001 km⁻¹ at best
- Extinction in lowest 120 meters or so is significantly underestimated

Aerosol DRE, based on C3M product (Kato et al. 2010)

Co-located, merged CALIPSO, CloudSat, CERES, and MODIS data

- Aerosol extinction profiles
 - CALIOP
 - MATCH
 - using assimilated MODIS AOD
 - MATCH used in columns where there is no CALIOP aerosol
- Aerosol type from MATCH, except when CALIOP identifies dust, broadband aerosol optical properties from OPAC (Hess, 1998)
- Cloud profiles and properties
 - CALIOP/CloudSat
 - MODIS
- Broadband RT calculations: up & down LW and SW fluxes using CALIPSO/CloudSat vertical structure above CERES footprints

Diurnally-averaged SW aerosol DRE

All-Sky Aerosol SW DRF

 $DRE_{total} = (1 - A_c) DRE_{clr} + A_c DRE_{cldy}$

Clear-Sky Aerosol SW DRF



2008 global mean DRE all-sky - 2.34 W/m²

clear-sky - 3.30 W/m² cloudy-sky -2.27 W/m²

Sensitivity Study



TOA Aerosol DRE







Next Steps

- Issue improved ("provisional") Level 3 aerosol dataset
 Early next year?
- Longer term: improvements to aerosol typing
- Continue DRE sensitivity studies
- Compare standard C3M with CALIOP-only aerosol
- Compute surface radiative effects, atmospheric heating
- Compare with other studies using CALIOP:
 - Chand et al. (Nat GeoSci, 2009)
 - Oikawa & Nakajima (JGR, in review)
 - L'Ecuyer (using CloudSat FLXHR-lidar product)
 - Redemann (MODIS, PARASOL, OMI, CALIOP)