

Aerosol modeling in the new Norwegian Earth System Model, NorESM, and comparisons with results from CAM-Oslo used for AeroCom.

Alf Kirkevåg¹, Øyvind Seland¹, Corinna Hoose², Trond Iversen^{1,2}, Jón Egill Kristjánsson², Mats Bentsen³, Jens Boldingh Debernard¹

¹Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway, ²University of Oslo, Norway ³NERSC, Bergen, Norway





Acknowledgments:

thanks to NCAR (Boulder, USA) for sharing CCSM4 code not yet released to the public. Special thanks to Phil Rasch, Steve Ghan, Mariana Vertenstein, Brian Eaton, Mathew Rothstein, Mark Flanner and Charlie Zender. 8'th International AeroCom Workshop, 5 – 7. October 2009, Princeton University, New Jersey.

Norwegian Meteorological Institute met.no

CAM3-Oslo:



Major changes to NCAR CAM3 (Collins et al., 2006, J. Clim. 19)

- Aerosol life cycling and physical properties

(Seland et al. & Kirkevåg et al., 2008, Tellus 60A)

- Sea-salt (SS), dust (DU), sulfate (SO_4) , organic matter (OM), black carbon (BC)
- Size-modes of nucleated and emitted primary particles are presumed
- Particle size and mixing state after growth follows from process calculations
- Aerosol cloud droplet interaction in warm clouds
 - New: CCN activation: by realized super-saturations and prognostic CDNC (Storelvmo et al., 2008, Env. Res. Lett. 3, Hoose et al., 2009, Geophys. Res. Lett. 36)
 - Old CCN activation: by prescribed super-saturations and diagnostic CDNC (Seland et al. & Kirkevåg et al., 2008, Tellus 60A)

NorESM

Norwegian Earth System Model, based on NCAR CCSM4

Atmosphere model:

based on a developement version of CAM4 (CAM3.6.15 in CCSM4 alpha 38) with Finite Volume dyn. core, 1.9°×2.5° res., 26 levels:

- aerosol and cloud droplet properties as in CAM3-Oslo (+ updates)
- stratiform cloud microphysics as in CAM3
 - (Rasch-Kristjansson inst. of Morrison Gettelman)
- radiative transfer scheme as in CAM3

Other NorESM components:

Ocean: MICOM, based on the Bergen Climate Model (BCM) version Sea-Ice: CICE (NCAR) Land model: CLM (NCAR) Changes in aerosol and cloud droplet parameterizations from CAM3-Oslo to NorESM:

· Aerosol and precursor emissions: AeroCom \rightarrow IPCC (AR5, prep. for CMIP5)

from ftp://ftp-ipcc.fz-juelich.de/pub/emissions/gridded_netcdf/

 \cdot New formulation for effective droplet radius w.r.t. radiation, r_e

Rotstayn & Liu (2009): $\frac{r_e}{r_e} \equiv \beta = \frac{(1+2\varepsilon^2)^{2/3}}{(1+\varepsilon^2)^{1/3}}, \quad \varepsilon = 1-0.7 \exp(-0.003 \cdot CDNC)$

instead of constant β of 0.875 over land, 0.928 elsewhere (only affecting 1. indirect effect)

And (later in the talk):

- Updated treatment of natural background aerosols
- Modified convective transport and scavenging
- Vertical distribution of biomass burning emissions



Results from 16 month offline simulations with

NorESM

+ comparison with CAM3-Oslo

Norwegian Meteorological Institute met.no

Aerosol column burdens (mg m⁻²) with









Direct radiative forcing, DRF (W m⁻²)

AeroCom 2000 - 1750

IPCC 2000 - 1850



Direct radiative forcing, DRF, with AeroCom emissions





Changes in aerosol and cloud droplet parameterizations from CAM3-Oslo to NorESM:



- Aerosol and precursor emissions: AeroCom \rightarrow IPCC
- New formulation for effective droplet radii w.r.t. radiation

• Updated treatment of natural background aerosols

- sea-salt lumping: 0.1% coarse -> fine mode (Mårtensson et al., 2003)
- added primary ocean-biogenic OM in Aitken mode
 - emissions horizontally distributed like sea-salt, and scaled to total of 8 Tg/yr (Spracklen et al., 2008)
- increased SOA from vegetation: from 19.1 to 37.5 Tg/yr (Hoyle et al., 2007)

\cdot Modified convective transport and scavenging

• Reduced cloud base height for convective transport and wet scavenging in the tropics from 800 hP to 930 hPa (already 930 hPa elsewhere)

• Vertical distribution of biomass burning emissions

IPCC emissions are here assumed to have same vertical profile as in AeroCom

(Emissions are only given as 2D fields)



Tests: 16 months, off-line simulations

Test	AODvis	DRF (W m ⁻²)	CDNC (10 ⁶ cm ⁻²)	1.+2. IndRF (W m ⁻²)
-1. AeroCom emissions	0.123	-0.085	3.14	-1.73 *
0. IPCC AR5 emissions	0.121	-0.116	2.94	-1.19
1. Control simulation: IPCC emissions + new $\beta = r_e/r_v$	0.121	-0.116	2.94	-1.09
 2. Seasalt lumping + added POM + increased SOA emissions -> new background aerosol 	0.129	-0.122	3.34	-0.823
3 . 2 + modified convective transport and scavenging	0.141	-0.146	3.64	-0.859
 4. 3 + AeroCom vertical distribution for biomass burning emissions -> new aerosol scheme version 	0.144	-0.169	3.66	-0.909

* 2000 relative to 1750 Else: 2000 relative to 1850

Aerosol column burdens (mg m⁻²) with IPCC 2000 / 1850 emissions





Test 4 = new aerosol scheme

Aerosol column burdens (mg m⁻²) with IPCC 2000 (1850) emissions



Aerosol mass mixing ratios (ng kg⁻¹) with IPCC 2000 and 1850 emissions



Test 1

Test 4 = new aerosol scheme



Direct radiative forcing, DRF (W m⁻²)

IPCC 2000 - 1850





NorESM (Test 4 = new aerosol scheme), clear sky AODvis (0.136)



- General tendency: improved
 - \geq especially over oceans
- Still too small over biomass burning areas

(Stefan Kinne, pers. comm.)

Summary and Conclusions



- CAM3-Oslo aerosol and cloud droplet schemes have been ported to CAM4 (CAM3.6.15) -> basis for atmospheric part of NorESM
- Important changes from CAM3-Oslo to NorESM:
 - New parameterization of effective cloud droplet radius -> 1. indirect effect
 - Change from AeroCom to IPCC AR5 emissions (+ new time span)
 - -> preparing for CMIP5 simulations
 - Updated treatment of natural background aerosols
 - Modified convective transport and scavenging in the tropics
 - Vertical profile of biomass burning emissions as in AeroCom (Phase I)
- Effect on aerosols and aerosol radiative forcing:
 - Improved optical depth compared with observations
 - Stronger negative direct radiative forcing
 -> closer to results from model median in AeroCom Phase I
 - Increased cloud droplet numbers, especially for PI conditions, and a weaker indirect (cooling) effect
- Additional model tuning in preparation for CMIP5 simulations started

Thank you for your attention !

References

Hoose, C., J. E. Kristjansson, T. Iversen, A. Kirkevåg, Ø. Seland, and A. Gettelman, 2009: Constraining cloud droplet number concentration in GCMs suppresses the aerosol indirect effect. Geophys. Res. Lett., Vol 36, L12807, doi:10.1029/2009GL038568.

Hoyle, C.R., T. Berntsen, G. Myhre, and I.S.A. Isaksen, 2007: Secondary organic aerosol in the global aerosol - chemical transport model Oslo CTM2. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 5675-5694

Kirkevåg, A., T. Iversen, Ø. Seland, , J.B. Debernard, T. Storelvmo, and J.E. Kristjansson, 2008: Aerosol-cloud-climate interactions in the climate model CAM-Oslo. Tellus, 60A, 492-512

Mårtensson, E.M., E.D. Nilsson, G. de Leeuw, L.H. Cohen, and H.-C. Hansson, 2003: Laboratory simulations and parameterization of the primary marine aerosol production. Journal of Geophys. Res., Vol 108, NO. D9, 4297, doi:10.1029/2002JD002263.

Rotstayn, L.D., and Y. Liu, 2009: Cloud droplet spectral dispersion and the indirect effect: Comparison of two treatments in a GCM. Geophys. Res. Lett., Vol 36, L10801, doi:10.1029/2009GL038216.

Seland, Ø., T. Iversen, A. Kirkevåg, and T. Storelvmo, 2008: Aerosol-climate interactions in the CAM-Oslo atmospheric GCM and investigations of associated shortcomings. Tellus, 60A, 459-491

Spracklen, D.V., S.R. Arnold, J. Sciare, K.S. Carslaw, and C. Pio, 2008: Globally significant oceanic source of organic carbon aerosol. Geophys. Res. Lett., Vol 35, L12811, doi:10.1029/2008GL033359. Extra slides





= new aerosol scheme



101ESW, 1est 0 - new version (month 3-10)	NorESM,	Test $6 = new$	version	(month 5-16).
-------------------------------------------	---------	----------------	---------	---------------

Spec.	Total sinks [Tg/yr]	Total Burden [Tg]	Life- time [days]	Wet dep. [%]	Chemical Loss [%]
DMS	18.1	0.11	2.17		100 (27.3)
SO ₂	80.7	0.27	1.26	7.8	69.9 (85.6)
SO ₄	58.1	0.60	3.78	94.5	n.a.
BC	7.7	0.19	9.19	82.2	n.a.
ОМ (+ SOA)	89.4 +	2.06	8.40	84.7	n.a.
SOA	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
SS	7886	7.02	0.33	47.5	n.a.
DU	1672	9.02	1.97	30.9	n.a.

Increase > 20%

 $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$

Increase > 10%

Decrease > 10%

... compared with CAM(3)-Oslo (Seland et al., 2008)

Spec.	Total sinks [Tg/yr]	Total Burden [Tg]	Life- time [days]	Wet dep. [%]	Chemical Loss [%]
DMS	18.1	0.10	2.09		100 (25.3)
SO ₂	82.2	0.29	1.27	9.0	71.4 (85.2)
SO ₄	60.4	0.66	3.96	92.3	n.a.
BC	7.7	0.14	6.74	75.0	n.a.
ОМ (+ SOA)	65.6+	1.30	7.22	80.2	n.a.
SOA	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
SS	7711	5.76	0.27	26.2	n.a.
DU	1671	10.40	2.27	35.9	n.a.



NorESM, Test $6 = \text{new ve}$	ersion (month 5-16)
----------------------------------	---------------------

Spec.	Total sinks [Tg/yr]	Total Burden [Tg]	Life- time [days]	Wet dep. [%]	Chemical Loss [%]
DMS	18.1	0.11	2.17		100 (27.3)
SO ₂	80.7	0.27	1.26	7.8	69.9 (85.6)
SO ₄	58.1	0.60	3.78	94.5	n.a.
BC	7.7	0.19	9.19	82.2	n.a.
ОМ (+ SOA)	89.4 +	2.06	8.40	84.7	n.a.
SOA	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
SS	7886	7.02	0.33	47.5	n.a.
DU	1672	9.02	1.97	30.9	n.a.



Increase > 10%

Decrease > 10%

NorESM, online month 5-16

Spec.	Total sinks [Tg/yr]	Total Burden [Tg]	Life- time [days]	Wet dep. [%]	Chemical Loss [%]
DMS	18.1	0.11	2.09		100 (27.3)
SO ₂	80.7	0.27	1.26	8.5	69.9 (85.6)
SO ₄	58.1	0.57	3.61	94.7	n.a.
BC	7.7	0.20	9.60	82.4	n.a.
ОМ (+SOA)	89.4+	2.12	8.65	85.1	n.a.
SOA	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
SS	7886	6.38	0.30	49.9	n.a.
DU	1672	8.96	1.95	29.5	n.a.

Present day AODvis (0.35-0.64 μ m), with AeroCom emissions



Cloud droplet number concentrations, CDNC (cm⁻³) AeroCom 2000 (1750) and effective cloud droplet radii, Reff (μ m) (at 870 hPa) IPCC 2000 (1850)



Cloud droplet number concentrations, CDNC (cm⁻³) and vertically integrated CDNC (10⁶ cm⁻²)

IPCC

AeroCom



Cloud droplet number concentrations, CDNC (cm⁻³) and effective cloud droplet radii, Reff (μ m) (at 870 hPa)



Cloud droplet number concentrations, CDNC (cm⁻³) and vertically integrated CDNC (10⁶ cm⁻²)

IPCC

IPCC





Indirect radiative forcing, IndRF (W m⁻²)

in two recent CAM-Oslo versions



Hoose et al. (2009)



Tests: 16 month off-line simulations	AODvis	DRF (W m ⁻²)	CDNC (10 ⁶ cm ⁻²)	1.+2. IndRF (W m ⁻²)
-1. AeroCom emissions	0.123	-0.085	3.14	-1.73
O . IPCC AR5 emissions	0.121	-0.116	2.94	-1.19
1 . Control simulation: IPCC emissions + new $\beta = r_e/r_v$	0.121	-0.116	2.94	-1.09
2. Seasalt lumping 0.1% coarse -> fine mode	0.121	-	3.05	-
3 . Adding primary OM ocean emissions	0.123	-	3.02	-
4 . 2+3 + Incr. SOA em. -> new background aerosol	0.129	-0.122	3.34	-0.823
5. 4 + Increased convect. transport -> reduced deposition	0.141	-0.146	3.64	-0.859
6.5 + use AeroCom vertical distribution of biomass burning emissions -> new aerosol scheme version	0.144	-0.169	3.66	-0.909







Prescribed lognormal externally mixed modes (before growth)

modes	modal median radius (μm)
SO ₄ (n/a) BC(n/a)	0.0118
BC(ac)	0.1
OC(a)	0.04
OC&BC(a)	0.04
SO4(ac)	0.075
MINERAL	0.22, 0.63
SEA-SALT	0.022, 0.13, 0.74

Results from 5 year simulations with CAM-Oslo



Modeled (CAM-Oslo) vs. measured annual surface concentrations

