Analyzing model water vapor field-patterns by means of satellite remote sensing and *in situ* sonde measurements

Rüdiger Lang, Swen Metzger and Mark Lawrence

Max-Planck-Institute for Chemistry, Mainz (Work in progress! Preliminary results!)

AEROCOM meeting, JRC, 2004



# Outline

- Motivation and Algorithms
- Comparison monthly averaged WV from GOME with
  - 1. Radiosondes (land)
  - 2. SSMI (ocean)
  - 3. ECMWF
  - 4. NCEP
  - 5. MATCH-MPIC
  - 6. ECHAM 5.2.02
- •Comparison of zonal mean Q and RH profiles (MATCH/ECHAM vs radiosondes)
- Preliminary 5 years monthly mean comparisons with ECHAM 5.2.02
- Discussion of resolution and cloud mask problems

#### Remote sensing of water vapor from GOME and SCIAMACHY

## Algorithms:



#### Comparison of TWVC between Radiosondes Radiosondes vs GOME and GOME TIKH-SSP retrievals August 1998 and 2000 January 1998 and 2000

Correlation between time averaged Radiosondes measurements and GOME Tikh res

Radiosonde launches at 08/0/1998. Averaged betw. 0:00 and 24:00





#### Comparison of TWVC between SSM/I and GOME TIKH-SSP retrievals for ocean pixels August 1997



Gridded SSMI Averaged TWVC for orbit 1-8-1997 to 31-8-1997



cm

3.6

0.5



Correlation between time averaged SSMI measurements and GOME TIKH results at 90S90N180W180E











![](_page_7_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Europe, August 1998

![](_page_11_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Specific humidity profiles, January 1998 (MATCH 10:30; Sondes 10:00-12:00 lt)

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Relative humidity profiles, January 1998 (MATCH 10:30; Sondes 10:00-12:00 lt)

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Specific humidity profiles, August 1998 (ECHAM 5.2.02)

0

-80

-60

-40

-20

echam Zonal mean WV profiles Q [Kg/Kg] at 1<sub>0</sub>8<sub>1</sub>998 to 31/08<sub>1</sub>998 -1 -1 5 10 -2 -2.5 8 -3 6 -3.5 - 4 4 -4.5 -5 2 -5.5 0 -6 -60 -40 -80 -20 20 40 60 80 O le Zonal mean WV profiles Q [Kg/Kg] at 08/1998 -1 5 10 -2 -2.5 8 -3 6 -3.5 -4 4 -4.5 5 2 -5.5 0 -6 -80 -60 -40 20 ò 20 40 60 80 bsolute Diff. in Zonal mean WV profiles Q [g/kg] at 08/1998 10 8 10 6 4 8 [g/Kg] 2 0 6 -2 4 -4 -6 2 -8

Ö

20

40

60

80

-10

#### Relative humidity profiles, August 1998 (ECHAM 5.2.02)

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

Average tropical RH/Q profiles, August 1998, 25S-25N (ECHAM)

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

Average tropical RH/Q profiles, January 1998, 25S-15N (ECHAM 10:30; Sondes 10:00-12:00 lt)

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

Average tropical RH/Q profiles, January 1998, 25S-15N (MATCH 10:30; Sondes 10:00-12:00 lt)

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

Average tropical RH/Q profiles, January 1998, 25S-15N (MATCH 10:30; Sondes 10:00-12:00 lt)

Altitude [km]

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Some subtleties concerning statistics

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

EGHAM 5.2.02

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Conclusions

- There can be serious regional differences between satellite observations and model total water vapor columns of up to 50%.
- Global and regional averages compare usually quite well (diff.
  <10%)</li>
- Measurement resolution issues significantly influences the residual amplitudes.
- Impact of the GOME cloud mask on the comparisons has to be investigated in more detail: bias of the model?

## Outlook

- Planned time series 1995 to 2004. (GOME-1 period)
- Data for 2000 (will be) available: Inter-comparison studies with AEROCOM models and AERONET measurements possible.
- Sensitivity studies on the impact of relative humidity on aerosol optical properties.

# Acknowledgements

We would like to thank,

• Swen Metzger (MPI-CHEM, Mainz) for providing ECHAM 5 data.

- Michael Traub (MPI-CHEM, Mainz) and Peter van Veldthoven (KNMI, de Bilt, Netherlands) for providing ECMWF data.
- Marc Allaart (KNMI, de Bilt, The Netherlands) for providing radiosonde (ECMWF network) data.
- Ahilleas Maurellis (SRON, Utrecht, The Netherlands) for providing GOME level 1 data.
- NVAP data were obtained from the NASA Langley Research Center Atmospheric Sciences Data Center.

NCEP vs. TIKH. Diff TWVC for orbit 50801001 to 831223 at 90S90N180W180E

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

-2	-1.5	-1	-0.5	0	0.5	1	1.5	

ECHAM vs. TIKH. Diff TWVC for orbit 50801001 to 831223 at 90S90N180W180E

2

![](_page_26_Figure_4.jpeg)

ECHAM-TIKH [cm]

![](_page_26_Figure_6.jpeg)

SSMI vs. TIKH. Diff TWVC for orbit 50801001 to 831223 at 90S90N180W180E

![](_page_26_Figure_8.jpeg)

Somerike [cm]

![](_page_26_Figure_10.jpeg)

TIKH GOME Averaged ground-pixel number for orbit 50801001 to 831223 at 90S90N180W180E

![](_page_26_Figure_12.jpeg)

Number of averaged ground pixels

![](_page_26_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

[cm]

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_7.jpeg)

# January 1998
Monthly averaged DATA, January 1998 (O4-cloud screening)



MPI-Chemistry, Mainz, Maurellis et al., GRL 2000, Lang et al., ACP 2003.

Monthly averaged DATA, January 1998 (O4-cloud screening)



(same averaging statistics applied as for GOME)

Monthly averaged DATA, January 1998 (O4-cloud screening)



Monthly averaged DATA, January 1998 (O4-cloud screening)



Monthly averaged DATA, January 1998 (O4-cloud screening)



Monthly averaged DATA, January 1998 (O4-cloud screening)



Monthly averaged DATA, January 1998 (O4-cloud screening)



Monthly averaged DATA, January 1998 (O4-cloud screening)



Monthly averaged DATA, January 1998 (O4-cloud screening)



Monthly averaged DATA, January 1998 (O4-cloud screening)



































### Precipitation and Water Vapor, January 1998



mm/day















## Comparison Absolute Differences RH/Q profiles, January 1998 (MATCH 10:30; Sondes 10:00-12:00 lt)







## MATCH T63 vs MATCH T21



Tikh GOME Averaged TWVC for orbit 80801010 to 80831002 at 90S90N180W180E







-2

-1.5

-1

1.5

1

0.5

0

-1.5

-2

-1

-0.5



0

0.5

1

1.5

-0.5







#### Motivation II: Precipitation differences between MATCH-MPIC and GCPC

#### **MATCH-MPIC**

MATCH Monthly Mean Precipitation at 8/1998







#### MATCH-GPCP Monthly Mean Precipitation at 8/1998



#### MATCH-MPIC-GPCP



#### GPCP Monthly Mean Precipitation at 8/1998

## Monthly averaged DATA, August 1998-2000 (O4-cloud screening) -180<sup>°</sup> -150<sup>°</sup> -120<sup>°</sup> - 90<sup>°</sup> - 60<sup>°</sup> - 30<sup>°</sup> +90 L <u>0° + 30° + 60° + 90° +120° +150° +1</u>80° +75° +60 +45° +30° +15° 0° -15<sup>°</sup> -30° -45<sup>°</sup> -60<sup>°</sup> -75<sup>°</sup> -90 [cm] 6 Efficient Phillips-Tikhonov-Twomey regularization using SSP, PTTR-SSP, (590 nm absorption band),

MPI-Chemistry, Mainz, Maurellis et al., GRL 2000, Lang et al., ACP 2003.

#### Monthly averaged DATA, August 1998-2000 (O4-cloud screening) +90<sup>°</sup> <u>- 60° - 30°</u> <u>0° + 30° + 60° + 90° + 120° + 150° + 18</u>0° -150<sup>°</sup> -120° - 90° 50 +75° +60 +45 +30° +15° 0° -15<sup>°</sup> -30<sup>°</sup> -45° -60<sup>°</sup> -75<sup>°</sup> -90 log10[molec/cm<sup>2</sup>] 21 21.5 22 22.5 23 Efficient Phillips-Tikhonov-Twomey regularization using SSP, PTTR-SSP, (590 nm absorption band), 21.5 22.5 23 MPI-Chemistry, Mainz, Maurellis et al., GRL 2000, Lang et al., ACP 2003.





### Monthly averaged DATA, August 1998 (O4-cloud screening)



### Monthly averaged DATA, August 1998 (O4-cloud screening)



Monthly averaged DATA, August 1998 (O4-cloud screening)



Monthly averaged DATA, August 1998 (O4-cloud screening)



Monthly averaged DATA, August 1998 (O4-cloud screening)



# Monthly averaged DATA, August 1998 (O4-cloud screening)



MPI-Chemistry, Mainz, Maurellis et al., GRL 2000, Lang et al., ACP 2003.

# Monthly averaged DATA, August 1998 (O4-cloud screening)



(same averaging statistics applied as for GOME-TIKH)

Monthly averaged DATA, August 1998 (O4-cloud screening)



Monthly averaged DATA, August 1998 (O4-cloud screening)



(same averaging statistics applied)

Monthly averaged DATA, August 1998 (O4-cloud screening)



## Global and regional comparison

GOME SSMI MATCH NCEP ECMWF