Aerosol optical depth (aot) comparisons to data from ground and space are preferred ways to demonstrate the skill of aerosol modules in global modeling. Comparisons among aerosol module detail demonstrate strong differences at sub-components, which may goes unnoticed when looking at integrated properties. Specifically we have to wonder: *Are 'good' aot totals skillful, just luck (off-setting errors)* or a matter of tuning? Investigations of detailed aerosol output of control experiments as proposed in AEROCOM will tell.



NEXT AEROCOM project ⇒ detailed evaluations

WHY

to understand reasons for differences in mass to optical depth conversions among models: *identical year, identical water uptake* to identify major causes for differences in mass distribution, including transport: *identical inventories (sources), identical meteorology* to understand observed seasonal and regional patterns of aerosol/chemistry: *satellite data, field studies, long-term monitoring*