Dynamical and Thermodynamic Dynamical and Thermodynamic Controls on Smoke Controls on Smoke -Cloud Interactions over the Amazon Interactions over the Amazon

Hongbin Yu, R. Fu, R.E. Dickinson *Georgia Institute of Technology*

Observational Evidence of Smoke-Cloud Interactions over Amazon

- **Smoke particles act as CCN, resulting in highly concentrated but narrowly distributed cloud droplet spectra (larger reflectivity)**
- **Warm rain processes are suppressed, promoting vertical transport of water and pollutants ("smoking clouds") and modifying circulations**
- **Smoke absorption both cools the surface and heats the atmosphere, changing the thermodynamics and likely reducing cloud cover ("cloud burning")**

 \bullet **To demonstrate the complexity of smokecloud interactions using MODIS data**

• **To explore**

–**whether or not these complex interactions vary with the interannual changes of large-scale background;**

–**what processes might control how changes of aerosol influence warm clouds.**

MODIS Analysis MODIS Analysis

 \bigcirc **MODIS/Aqua daily 1** °**x1** $\mathbf 0$ • **ECMWF, radiosondes** • **Dry-to-wet transition** *(Aug-Oct),* **tropical Amazon** • **2003** *(normal)* **vs 2002** *(El Nino)* • **Warm clouds only** • **Southerly crossequatorial flow**

2003: Normal Year 2003: Normal Year

Twomey effect for AOD<0.6;

More significant at high LWP than at low LWP;

Droplet size levels off at a high AOD.

2003: normal year 2003: normal year

For LWP>70g/m2, cloud fraction increases with AOD (<0.6)

Thin clouds:

more or less constant fractions for AOD<0.6 AOD

> **decrease for higher AOD conditions. (indication of cloud-burning effect)**

2002 ---- El Nino year El Nino year

 $\mathop{\textstyle\mathop{\mathbf{C}}}\nolimits$ **Cloud fraction decreases with AOD, with greatest reduction for thicker and higher clouds.** *(semi-direct effect)*

• **The tops of warm clouds are apparently lowered by smoke**

- **- stabilization of ABL**
- **enhanced capping inversion by smoke absorption**

2003 was wetter than 2002 2003 was wetter than 2002

Radiosonde measurements

2003 was more unstable than 2002 2003 was more unstable than 2002

2003: more rapid decrease of droplet size at higher RH. 2002: more rapid reduction of cloud cover at lower RH.

In 2003, Twomey effect is larger at smaller LI (unstable); In 2002, the reduction of cloud fraction appears to be greater for larger LI (stable)

LLWS: from radiosonde measurements

between 925-700 mb level

*** Cloud burning is more significant under weaker windshear, presumably because**

 turbulence/convection are largely determined by surface fluxes for smaller wind-shear; and these can be significantly reduced by smoke absorption.

What have we learned from this study? What have we learned from this study?

- *Smoke influences clouds significantly but differently between a normal 2003 (indirect effects) and an ENSO 2002 (semi-direct effect).*
- \bullet *Such differences correlate with differences in atmos. dynamics/thermodynamics:*
	- *2003 was wetter and more unstable, favorable for activation of CN to CCN;*
	- *2002 had a relatively dry ABL and less extensive clouds, presumably more susceptible to smoke absorption*
- \bullet *Atmospheric dynamics & thermodynamics play a complex role in regulating the influences of aerosols on clouds. Findings at a specific time & location are not easily extrapolated to other times & places.*

THANKS!

*** LLWS: from radiosonde measurements between 925-700 mb level**

• **Cloud burning in 2002 is more significant under weaker wind-shear**

− **presumably, turbulence/convection are largely determined by surface fluxes for smaller wind-shear; and these can be significantly reduced by smoke absorption.**

Different smoke Different smoke-cloud interactions result in cloud interactions result in interannual interannual variations of aerosol forcing variations of aerosol forcing

Instantaneous Forcing 1:30 pm

• **TOA cooling in 2003, but nearly zero in 2002**

• **Surface flux reduces by 20~30% (moderate smoke) and 35~45% (heavy smoke) (2003 more than 2002)**

• **Atmos. heating increases by 50-60% (moderate) and 80-90% (heavy).**

• *Possible influences on wet season onset (influenced by surface fluxes, thermodynamics)*

MODIS Lifted Index (LI) for AOD < 0.2 MODIS Lifted Index (LI) for AOD < 0.2

₹Y.

Tropics: **Smaller LI, less stable atmosphere in 2003; Stronger updrafts in 2003 could activate CN to CCN more efficiently.**

Lifted Index (LI)

Different smoke-cloud interactions result in interannual interannual variations of aerosol forcing variations of aerosol forcing

Instantaneous Forcing 1:30 pm

24-h Average Forcing

- **TOA cooling in 2003, but nearly zero in 2002**
- **Surface flux reduces by 20~30% (moderate smoke) and 35~45% (heavy smoke) (2003 more than 2002)**
- **Atmos. heating increases by 50-60% (moderate) and 80-90% (heavy).**

• **Possible influences on wet season onset (determined by surface fluxes, thermodynamics)**