

Pyranometer Specifications: Guide to Meteorological Instruments and Methods of Observation (7th edition), WMO-No 8.

		Secondary Standard	First Class
Response time	to 95% of final value	< 15 s	< 30 s
Zero off-set response:	to 200 W/m ² net radiant loss to sky (ventilated)	7 W/m ²	15 W/m ²
Zero off-set response:	to 5°C/hr change in ambient temperature	±2 W/m ²	±4 W/m ²
Resolution	(smallest detectable change)	±1 W/m ²	±5 W/m ²
Non-stability	(change in sensitivity per year)	±0.8%	±1.5%
Non-linearity	(deviation from sensitivity at 500 W/m ² over 100 to 1000 W/m ² range)	±0.5%	±1%
Directional response for beam radiation	(error due to assuming that the normal incidence response at 1000 W/m ² is valid for all directions)	±10 W/m ²	±20 W/m ²
Spectral selectivity	(deviation of the product of spectral absorptance and transmittance from the mean)	±2%	±5%
Temperature response	(error due to 50°C ambient temperature change)	±2%	±4%
Tilt response	(deviation from horizontal responsivity due to tilt from horizontal to vertical at 1000 W/m ²)	±0.5%	±2%
Achievable uncertainty	95% confidence level	WMO hourly totals 3% WMO daily totals 2%	8% 5%
Suitable applications		Working standard	Low-cost operations



Uncertainty: Precision & Accuracy







doi:10.1029/2008JD011470, 2009)

Global annual mean of Earth's energy budget (Trenberth et al. 2009)



Brief History



Eppley Pyranometer (180° Pyrheliometer)

Moll-Gorczynski Pyranometer: Kipp and Zonen (Solarimeter)

1930s to date



Precision Spectral Pyranometer (PSP) ~1965 to date

Precision Infrared Radiometer (PIR) ~1975 to date

CM Pyranometer (CM3-CM22) ~1965 to date

CG Pyrgeometer (CG1-CG4) ~1990 to date











Thermopile Technology*



*Drummond, et al., 1965 Albrecht, et al., 1974 Philipona, et al., 1995 Bush, et al., 2000



- Market share for past 2-3 decades:
 - Shortwave radiometers
 - » Eppley PSP's -- ≈40%
 - » Kipp & Zonen CM's -- ≈55%
 - Longwave radiometers
 - » Eppley PIR's -- ≈90%
- Total: ≈10,000 units distributed globally

Kirk, EPLAB president, 1999 personal communication **October 5, 2011**



Radiative Energy Balance

Built on Fairall et al. (1998, J. Atmos. Oceanic Tech., 15, 1229-1242) IR work:

 $R_{\rm down}$ - $R_{\rm up} = \kappa \alpha V$ Shortwave (W m⁻²) $\mathcal{E}_{s} \sigma T_{s}^{4} + \rho_{s} R_{down} = R_{up}$ Longwave (W m⁻²) S $S \tau_d + L \tau_d + \mathcal{E}_d \sigma T_d^4 + \rho_d R_{uv} = R_{down}$ where κ : thermal conductivity $T_{\rm d}$ Dome α : thermoelectric R_{down} R_{up} coefficient (K/V) V: voltage output $T_{\rm s}$ Sensor $\mathcal{E}_{s} + \rho_{s} = 1$ $\mathcal{E}_{d} + \mathcal{T}_{d} + \boldsymbol{\rho}_{d} = 1$ T_c Case $T_{c} + \alpha V = T_{s}$ Si-Chee Tsay, Deputy **October 5, 2011** EOS/Terra Project Scientist 6 NASA/GSFC

Solutions:

$$^*S_{2000} = \kappa \alpha V + \varepsilon_d \sigma (T_s^4 - T_d^4) + \tau_d (\sigma T_s^4 - L)$$

 $\kappa \alpha V$: Output from common Pyranometers $\varepsilon_d \sigma (T_s^4 - T_d^4)$: Thermal effect from the dome

 $\tau_d(\sigma T_s^4 - L)$: Thermal leakage of the dome

*Ji and Tsay, 2000, <u>On the Dome Effect of Eppley Pyrgeometers and Pyranometers</u>, Geophys. Res. Lett., <u>27</u>, 971-974.

$$^{*}S_{2010} = cV + f\sigma[(T_{c} + \alpha V)^{4} - (P_{d}/r)^{4}]$$

Ideal gas law: $P_d = r T_d$ Measurements: V, T_c and P_d



Constants: σ, α, r, c and f, rooted in stable physical properties. (σ, Stefan-Boltzmann constant; α, thermoelectric coefficient; r, ideal gas coefficient; c, intrinsic calibration constant; and f, dome factor) [#]Ji and Tsay, 2010, <u>A Novel Non-Intrusive Method to Resolve the Thermal-Dome-Effect of Pyranometers. Part I: Instrumentation and Observational Basis</u>, J. Geophys. Res., <u>115</u>, D00K21, doi:10.1029/2009JD013483. Si-Chee Tsay, Deputy EOS/Terra Project Scientist 7

Aerosol Recirculation and Rainfall Experiment (ARREX)



• Using collocated PSP/PIR measurements to correct thermal dome effect:

- approximate $\mathcal{E}_d = 0.71, (T_c)_{PSP} = (T_c)_{PIR}, and (T_d)_{PSP} = 0.996(T_d)_{PIR}$

- Nighttime (near thermal equilibrium) off-set can be explained well.

- Daytime data involving solar heating and other effects are very complex.

Si-Chee Tsay, Deputy EOS/Terra Project Scientist October 5, 2011 NASA/GSFC

NASA/GSFC: Radiometric Calibration Facility





Campbell Scientific CR1000 datalogger

6-foot Integrating Sphere 16-lamp, NIST traceable







ACHIEVE: Aerosol-Cloud-Humidity Interaction Exploring & Validating Enterprise

Calibration Targets

Successive-Derivatives approach to build up information metrics

W-band 94GHz **Pulsed Radar**

> **AERI 3-20 µm** Interferometer

K-band 24GHz **FM-CW** Radar

-0.5 km



LIDARS"

355nr

Lidars: 910nm ALS450 THE

532nm





-channel Scanning Microwave Radiometer

Simulations: Method and Constraint

- Over two months (April–June 2008) of data (i.e., aerosol, cloud, surface, state parameters) collected in a remote region frequented by dust outbreaks in northwest China are used to constrain a radiative transfer model (RTM, i.e., Fu-Liou code, 1993).
- Atmospheric profiles: mid-latitude summer as a template, updated with the available sounding data, and scaled to measured column ozone & precipitable water vapor amounts at elevated sfc height.
- Aerosol properties: aerosol optical thickness measured by sunphotometer, vertical distribution derived from MPL backscatter profile, and built-in RTM aerosol models used but modified accordingly with dust/soot mixture (Li et al., 2010).
- Surface properties: spectral albedo derived from the BRDF measurements by ASD spectrometer (Tsay et al., 2011).
- Model simulations: tuned to agree with downward solar irradiance measurements, by adjusting aerosol optical properties.

Summary: Impact on Direct Aerosol Radiative Effect

- Without TDE corrections, the measurements can be well matched using a pure dust aerosol optical model (AOM) in the RTM.
- With TDE corrections applied, the measurements decreased ~3 Wm⁻² on average thus requiring an addition of soot to the AOM, simulating ~1.7% of the total aerosol optical thickness (modeled single scattering albedo at λ = 0.55 µm changes from 0.871 to 0.860 using the OPAC database).

