# why panel discussions ?

#### thoughts on observing aerosol indirect effects by Ralph Kahn

- satellite data are often
  - misinterpreted or
  - over-interpreted
    - examples are
  - MODIS 'anthropogenic' aerosol
  - MISR 'SSA'
  - AERONET SSA

#### measurement-related strengths

- satellites can measure aerosol amount and 'type' (away from cloud & sometimes above cloud)
- satellites can measure aerosol layer & near-source plume elevation
- satellites can measure cloud fraction, cloud phase, ac, tc, pc, Nc, rc, LWP, qv(z), T(z), cloud height
- aerosols tend to concentrate in layers, even when transported long distances
- special cases: ship tracks, aircraft contrails, stratus over smokestacks (perturbation + control)

## measurement-related issues please read the quality statements

- difficult to retrieve aerosols at or near clouds
   cloud-scattered light can affect near-cloud aerosol retrievals
- not easy to distinguish cloud from aerosol particles
  particle hydration & cloud-processing
- optical remote-sensing does not see size < 100 nm
  - significant contributions to CCN can be missed ... especially in cases of higher super-saturations
- Relationships may not be so simple (co-variations)
   LWP-to-CCN relationships also depend on atmos. stability
- passive remote sensing captures vertical averages
   local effects may be averaged out
- time & spatial scales of aerosol-cloud interactions
  - scales are not covered by satellite sampling or lab-studies

### what should be next?

- current measures may not be sufficient
  - Kaufman {AOD; FMF}
  - *Matsui* {*tc*, *rc*, LWP; stab.}
  - Oreopoulos-Platnick {ac, rc}
  - Nakajima {tc, rc}
- statistics ?

– McComiskey {PDFs of Na, w;LWP} parcel model

- need <u>quantitative</u> tests of mechanisms
- identify where, when & what combinations of new measurements are most needed