Satellite-derived warm rain fraction as constraint on the cloud lifetime effect

Johannes Mülmenstädt,¹ Gunnar Myhre,² Shipeng Zhang,³ Minghuai Wang,³ Toshi Takemura,⁴ Andrew Gettelman,⁵ Edward Gryspeerdt,¹ Philip Stier,⁶ Piers M. Forster,⁷ Stefan Kinne,⁸ Annica Ekman,⁹ Anna Lewinschal,⁹ Johannes Quaas¹

¹ Universität Leipzig ²CICERO ³ Nanjing University ⁴Kyushu University ⁵NCAR ⁶University of Oxford ⁷University of Leeds ⁸MPI Meteorology ⁹Stockholm University

20 September 2016











Precipitation

High radar reflectivity of rain drops

→ CloudSat CPR via 2C-PRECIP-COLUMN or DARDAR_MASK

Liquid-topped clouds

High lidar backscatter at cloud top from liquid droplets

 $\rightarrow \ \ CALIOP \ \ via \\ DARDAR_MASK$

Ice clouds High radar reflectivity of ice particles

 $\rightarrow\,$ CPR via DARDAR_MASK

Rain from pure liquid clouds ("warm rain") is very rare over the extratropical continents



Mülmenstädt et al. (2015), Geophys. Res. Lett. 42 (15), 6502–6509, doi:10.1002/2015GL064604 😑 🗤 🛓 🗠 🔍

AeroCom project proposal

- Aerosol influence mainly acts on autoconversion in liquid-water clouds in current models
- The more precipitating warm clouds are simulated in a model, the more opportunity aerosols have to influence the precipitation microphysics
- We hypothesize that the strength of the cloud lifetime effect in models is therefore related to the warm-rain fraction
- This hypothesis can be tested in the AeroCom models
- Comparing warm-rain fraction in models against satellites may provide an observational constraint on the cloud lifetime effect

Outline

Motivation

Warm-rain fraction in observations and GCMs

Tuning the warm-rain fraction in ECHAM–HAM

Interactions between the warm-rain fraction and ERF_{aci}



Compare satellite climatology to CMIP5 cfSites



Compare satellite climatology to CMIP5 cfSites



Compare satellite climatology to CMIP5 cfSites



Modeled warm-rain fraction is diverse









Satellite



Outline

Motivation

Warm-rain fraction in observations and GCMs

Tuning the warm-rain fraction in ECHAM–HAM

Interactions between the warm-rain fraction and ERF_{aci}

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Scale factor on autoconversion rate: $10^{-4} \times Q_{aut}$ reproduces observations



KK(2000) autoconv with scale factor

Satellite



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙

Threshold on autoconversion: $r_e > 20 \ \mu m$



◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ○臣 ○○○○

These modifications are related

Khairoutdinov and Kogan (2000):

$$\frac{\partial q_r}{\partial t} \propto q_l^{\alpha} N^{\beta}, \quad \alpha = 2.47, \beta = -1.79$$
(1)

Since

$$q_l \propto r_e^3 N \tag{2}$$

the autoconversion rate can be rewritten as a function of r_e and either of q_l or N:

$$\frac{\partial q_r}{\partial t} \propto \begin{cases} r_e^{3\alpha} N^{\alpha+\beta} \\ r_e^{-3\beta} q_l^{\alpha+\beta} \end{cases}$$
(3)

Under the simplifying assumption that r_e is uncorrelated with either of q_l or N, we expect the autoconversion rate to scale with $r_e^{5.5 \sim 7.5}$, which effectively sets an r_e threshold.



Effect on energy fluxes

- Reducing the warm-rain fraction significantly detunes the TOA energy balance → retuning is required (primarily SW)
- (Reducing warm-rain fraction increases large-scale precipitation)



Effect on precipitation intensity distribution

- Reducing the warm-rain fraction also increases the intensity spectrum
- Shown here are large-scale precipitation intensity spectra at different latitude bands
- Decreasing the warm-rain fraction increases the probability of intense large-scale precipitation



Tuning the warm rain fraction in ECHAM–HAM: conclusions

- Satellite warm-rain fraction can be reproduced in ECHAM–HAM by multiplying the Khairoutdinov and Kogan (2000) autoconversion rate by 10⁻⁴ (default ECHAM–HAM tuning factor: 4)
- ▶ Alternative to this drastic scale factor: $r_e > 20 \mu$ m threshold on autoconversion
- Effect on radiative balance is large (large increase in cloud lifetime)
- Reducing the warm-rain fraction to match the satellite climatology also increases the intensity spectrum
- (Some remaining uncertainty on these numbers because of parameter choices in diagnosis of warm-rain fraction)

Outline

Motivation

Warm-rain fraction in observations and GCMs

Tuning the warm-rain fraction in ECHAM–HAM

Interactions between the warm-rain fraction and $\mathsf{ERF}_{\mathsf{aci}}$

Influence of the warm-rain fraction on $\mathsf{ERF}_{\mathsf{aer}}$

Results for ECHAM6.1-HAM2.2, AeroCom II 1850/2000 emissions

ccraut	SW PD $-$ PI (W m $^{-2}$)	LW PD $-$ PI (W m $^{-2}$)	$SW + LW PD - PI (W m^{-2})$
4 (default)	-2.1	1.0	-1.1
10-4	-1.6	0.72	-0.86

- As hypothesized, the configuration with lower warm-rain fraction has a smaller ERF_{aer}
- ▶ The change is -0.5 W m⁻² SW offset by 0.3 W m⁻² LW \Rightarrow plausible that ERF_{aci} change is a large contribution

 (Low-ccraut configuration has not been retuned and ERF_{aci} has not been diagnosed separately from ERF_{aer} yet)

Influence of the lifetime effect on warm-rain fraction



- CAM5 runs with and without cloud lifetime effect
- In SE and NE Pacific and Atlantic, lifetime effect decreases the warm-rain fraction, as expected from drizzle suppression
- However, there are also regions where the warm-rain fraction decreases
- Results are very preliminary (still based on non-standard diagnostic algorithm while some more files transfer)

Preliminary conclusions on the relationship between warm-rain fraction and aerosol effects

Changing the warm-rain fraction (in ECHAM–HAM) changes the ERF_{aci}
 As anticipated, warm-rain fraction is sensitive to aerosol effects

Lots of model diversity; this observable has not been tuned to death
 May be useful as an observational constraint

- ► Next step: investigate relationship between warm-rain fraction and ERF_{aci} across models
- Participation by other models welcome!
 - ⇒ Required output: snow and rain mixing ratio/flux/path, non-accumulated field, ideally 3h; preferably for a model configuration with known ERF_{aci}

Change of subject: response to Stevens (2015)



Kretzschmar et al. (2016), submitted to J. Climate

- Stevens (2015): zero-dimensional global-mean aerosol forcing model with linear ARI and logarithmic ACI terms based on sulfate aerosol
- In this model, ≈50% of ERF_{aer} is already realized in 1950; warming in the early 20th century constrains present-day ERF_{aer}
- In CMIP5 models, the ACI saturates less quickly due to transport from polluted to pristine regions (Rotstayn et al., 2015)
- $\blacktriangleright\,$ Realized ERF_{aer} is only ${\approx}25\%$ in 1950

▲□▶▲母▶▲글▶▲글▶ 글 のQ (>

 No strong constraint on ERF_{aer} from early-20th-century warming

Summary

- Warm-rain fraction is very low over continents (especially extratropical NH); details: Mülmenstädt et al. (2015), Geophys. Res. Lett. 42 (15), 6502–6509, doi:10.1002/2015GL064604
- Warm-rain fraction can be diagnosed in GCMs and may serve as an observational constraint on precipitation-related processes (including aerosol cloud lifetime effect)
- ► In ECHAM–HAM, agreement with satellite warm-rain fraction can be achieved with either a drastic rescaling of KK2000 autoconversion or a less drastic *r_e* threshold
- Either method of tuning the warm-rain fraction intensifies the precipitation intensity spectrum and decreases the ERF_{aci}