# The MAC AEROSOL climatology Max-Planck Aerosol Climatology

Stefan Kinne, MPI-Meteorology

ftp ftp-projects.zmaw.de/aerocom/climatology/MACv2\_2015



- why: get a general idea on aerosol column properties as function of month and regions
- how: take advantage of observational accuracy and of regional context / coverage by modeling
   merged monthly maps = MAcv2 climatology

ocean obs
 MAN



land obs



## trusted **observations** ! annual averages



FMF

ANG (div by 2)

## merged properties

at 550nm (unless otherwise indicated)

- AOD
- AAOD
- AOD, 440nm
- AOD, 870nm
- AODf (r<.5um)
- AODc (r>.5um)
- AAODf (mainly BC)
- AAODc (mainly DU)

Angstrom parameter Ang = - In (AOD, 440/ AOD, 870) / In (440/870)

fine-mode AOD fraction FMF = AOD,f / (AOD,f + AOD,c)

Ang +FMF  $\rightarrow$  fine reff  $\rightarrow$  CCN

AeroCom

fine-mode AAOD fraction = absFMF = AAOD,f / (AAOD,f + AAOD,c)

## MACv2 merged on modeling annual averages



## fine (r<.5um) vs COarse (r>.5um)



### 1.guess for satellite models SSAf = sff \*1.0 [Rf,imag=0] + (1-sff) \* (0.76) [RF,imag=0.05]



## expansion with modeling help

- to make it useful for climate applications
  - inter-annual variability
    - only anthropogenic is allowed to change – coarse mode and PI fine-mode unchanged
  - spectral variability (0.25 to 100µm wavelength)

 derived aerosol typing with pre-scribed aerosol component properties

- vertical variability (CALIPSO stats preferred)
  separately for fine-mode and coarse mode
- microphysics (fine-mode size → CCN conc.)
   reff-fine, T, supersat, kappa, dry→wet at 1km

#### anthropogenic – via PD & PI modeling only 25% of today's AOD is anthrogogenic

#### anthropogenic AOD fraction of today's fine-mode AOD $\rightarrow$

...based on gl.model simulations of the fine-mode AOD at pre-industrial times (year 1850) and for today's conditions

0.2500

otal AOD

0.163

0.085

0.124

0.0000



0.5000

0.7500

#### **temporal** – via modeled emission scaling ... if we believe sulfate IPCC RCP futures (no nitrates)



#### fine-mode properties AODf(z) + re (ANG, AODf) →



## selected applications

- forcing
  - comparing direct vs indirect
- aerosol effect
  - for atmosphere (heating  $\rightarrow$  dynamics)
  - on the surface radiation budget (flux reduction)
- aerosol forcing over time
  - anthropogenic has reached a maximum

## comparing – direct vs indirect

#### • at TOA: indirect forcing is dominant today's total forcing today's direct forcing today's indirect forcing р đ ;⊨ -0.309<sup>1</sup> -0.19 -1.06 -0.87 -1.36 -1 1 -0.072 -0.687 -0.756 -8.000 -4.000 0.0000 4.000 W/m2

- in atmosph: direct (heat) effect is stronger
- at surface: direct effect is much stronger

## direct effects in atmosphere



## direct effects on surface budgets





### summary

- MAC climatology is freely available
  - ftp ftp-projects.zmaw.de/aerocom/climatology/MACv2\_2015
- applications demonstrated usefulness
  - regional, seasonal, temporal varying impacts
  - indirect impact dominates at TOA
  - direct impact dom. at surface and atmosphere
- major uncertainties
  - PI reference (to define 'anthropogenic')
  - composition (absorption properties)





## **complicate** – spectral / comp variability



