AOKI





Validation plan of GCOM-C1/SGLI Satellite Aerosol Optical Properties retrievals form Ground-based and Ship-borne Sky Radiometer

Kazuma Aoki University of Toyama, Japan

3. Example of Ground-based observation at several Japan sites.

We started the long-term monitoring of aerosol optical properties by using a sky radiometer since 1990's. The sky radiometer is an automatic instrument that takes observations only in daytime under the clear sky condition without cloud. Observation of direct and diffuse solar intensity of interval was made every ten or five minutes by once (direct measurement every one minute). Ship-borne type, GPS provides the position with longitude and latitude and heading direction of the vessel, and azimuth and elevation angle of sun. Horizon sensor provides rolling and pitching angles. We used seven wavelengths (0.315, 0.4, 0.5, 0.675, 0.87, 0.94, 1.02 µm). The two wavelengths (0.315 and 0.94 µm) can be used to estimate total ozone amount and precipitable water. There were used to analysis direct solar irradiance and diffuse solar radiance at fifth wavelength (0.4, 0.5, 0.675, 0.87, 1.02 µm) by aerosol channel. The aerosol optical characteristics were computed using the SKYRAD pack version 4.2 developed by Nakajima et al. (1996).

(Aok and Fullyoshi, 2003)

Acki, K., and Fujiyoshi (2003), Sky radiometer measurements of serosol optical



Fig.8 Relationship between Aerosol optical thickness at 0.5 µm, Angström

BALKANSKI

Simulation of the Laki volcano in 1783 based upon analogs of winds; analysis using historical data of reported fog and deaths

Y. Balkanski, L. Menut, S. Jourdain, E. Garnier, C. Eschstruth, M. Vrac, R. Vautard, P. Yiou



Historical archive of fogs and of additional deaths following the eruption



compared with the average of 1774 to 1789



Abnormal death rate (in green) from June to Sept. 1783 when

Thondarson and Self, 2003

Climate and Health Data Rescue and Modeling (CHEDAR) project

COLARCO

Diversity of Aerosol Simulations in the NASA GEOS-5 Model Impacts of Meteorology and Spatial Resolution (i.e., my own private AeroCom) Peter Colarco, NASA GSFC



GEOS-5 model with GOCART aerosols can be run in numerous configurations
different spatial resolutions, time steps, replay meteorology vs. free-running, etc.
Simulations with same emissions result in global AOT differences < 10%, but regional variability

Diversity of Aerosol Simulations in the NASA GEOS-5 Model Impacts of Meteorology and Spatial Resolution (i.e., y own private AeroCom)

Peter Colarco, NASA GSFC



GEOS-5 model with GOCART aerosols can be run in numerous configurations

different spatial resolutions, time steps, replay meteorology vs. free-running, etc.
 with same emissions: global AOT differences < 10%, but regional variability
 diff in cloud and aerosol distributions: differences in aerosol forcing ~0.3 W m⁻²
 How sensitive are our models to configuration choices?

DE LEEUW

Retrieval of Aerosol and Cloud Properties

from ATSR using ADV/ASV

¹Finnish Meteorological Institute ² University of Helsinki ³ EUMETSAT

Gerrit de Leeuw^{1,2} Larisa Sogacheva¹, Pekka Kolmonen¹, Timo H. Virtanen¹, Giulia Saponaro¹ and Alexander Kokhanovsky³







comp with MODIS





40 E

50





MODIS COT 26-07-2007

DHOMSE

IFS-GLOMAP Sandip Dhomse, Graham Mann et al., (University of Leeds)



Improved representation of SO4 aerosol in C-IFS-GLOMAP



DUBOVIK

GRASP: Generalized Retrieval of Aerosol and Surface Properties

Dubovik et al. 2011, 2014 Open Source

NO ASSUMPTIONS on aerosol and surface All calculation on the fly

<u>Retrieved parameters:</u> Surface reflectance, aerosol: AOD, SSA, aerosol height, size information, refractive index, aerosol type, etc.

Expected practical advantages: accurate even over bright surfaces, even for high AOD, and for extended set of parameters



GRASP/PARASOL AOD443 29/09/2008



FIEBIG

Confronting AeroCom Models with Particle Size Distribution Data from Surface In Situ Stations, Episode 2 (AeroCom project INSITU PNSD)

Markus Fiebig, Stephen Platt Norwegian Institute for Air Research Michael Schulz Norwegian Meteorological Institute Graham Mann School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds

Motivation

- Particle size distribution probably most fundamental aerosol property relevant for any interaction of particles, e.g. direct and indirect climate effects.
- Surface in situ particle number size distribution (PNSD) data are of high accuracy, meterologically traceable comparison, will improve models.
- Continue /update Graham Mann's work during phase II.

Objectives

- Update PNSD comparison to AeroCom Phase III
- Compare not only sectional particle concentration, also particle size (modal median particle diameter).
- Extend comparison from fine $(D_p < 1 \mu m)$ to coarse size range $(D_p < 1 \mu m)$ by using proxies (scattering Ångström coefficient, particle mass size distribution)
- Compare model-by-model to find reasons for agreement and disagreement.

Scope



- 49 stations with fine-range PNSD
- 23 stations measuring spectral scattering coefficient in addition.
- 15 stations measuring PM2.5 / PM10 mass conc. in addition.

Become Involved!

- Request for targeted model output (PNSD and particle mass size distribution at surface and station location).
- See post on aerocom mailing list, aerocom Wiki, or poster for more information.

GARAY

MISR High-Resolution Retrievals Over Korea from AERONET-DRAGON Asia 2012







V22b24-51+1 Best Estimate AOD

MISR 4.4 km Operational Retrieval

(COMING SOON!)

- 4.4 km algorithm nearly identical to 17.6 km (Version 22) algorithm you know and love!
- 4.4 km retrievals reported over both land and water!
- Improved spatial coverage, better agreement with AERONET AODs, better able to resolve spatial gradients in AOD!









Global Maps (July 2007)



GHAN

Constraining Cloud-Aerosol Interactions in Climate Models Steven Ghan, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Minghuai Wang, Nanjing University

$$\Delta R = R \frac{d \ln R}{d \ln \tau_c} \frac{d \ln \tau_c}{d \ln N_d} \frac{d \ln N_d}{d \ln CCN} \frac{d \ln CCN}{d \ln E} \Delta \ln E$$

Ignore cloud fraction change

R: "clean-sky" shortwave cloud forcing (Ghan, ACP, 2013) ΔR : ERFaci

 I_{χ} : cloud optical depth N_{d} : cloud droplet number

CCN: CCN at 1 km (0.1% supersaturation)

E: anthropogenic emission

L: liquid water path r_e : droplet effective radius

GRYSPEERDT





MODIS Total

InAOD-CDNC-CF sensitivity				
10.0	-5.0	0.0	5.0	10.0

what about AeroCom models?



GRZEGORSKI

EUMETSAT Meteorological Satellite Conference, Toulouse, 24/09/2015

RETRIEVAL OF AEROSOL OPTICAL PROPERTIES OVER LAND AND OCEAN USING PMAP



Michael Grzegorski, Andriy Holdak Gabriele Poli, Rüdiger Lang, Rosemary Munro



۲

Retrieval of aerosol optical properties over land and ocean using PMAp I

- PMAp: Polar Multi-sensor Aerosol product
 - AOD over ocean, aerosol type classification (volcanic ash)
 - Delivered as a GOME product (PMD resolution)
 - Fully operational product quality status since October 14th 2014
 - major update at January 20th 2015
 - Distributed by EUMETCast in netcdf4



Retrieval of aerosol optical properties over land and ocean using PMAp II

 Q1/2016: Implementation of PMAp Release 2 including retrieval over land on the core ground segment (expected)



HANSSON

How does European aerosol emissions affect the Arctic climate?

Acosta Navarro, J. C.& Varma, V, Riipinen, I., Seland, Ø., Kirkevåg, A., Struthers, H., Iversen, T., <u>Hansson, H.-C.</u>, Ekman, A. M. L.


NorESM says



The changes in AOD, CDNC and TOA show clearly main forcing is over Europe! BUT temperature effect in the Arctic!!!!



Why is the effect in the Arctic when the forcing is in Europe?

JOHNSON

Holuhraun eruption 2014 The tropospheric equivalent of Pinatubo

Jim Haywood^{1,2}, Andy Jones¹, Florent Malavelle², ...

Nicolas Bellouin³, Olivier Boucher⁴, Sophie Bauduin⁵, Ken Carslaw⁵, Lieven Clarisse⁶, Hugh Coe⁷, Mohit Dalvi¹ Sandip Dhomse⁵, Andrew Gettelmann⁸, Dan Grosvenor⁹, Margaret Hartley⁶, Ben Johnson¹, Colin Johnson¹, Jeff Knight¹, Jon-Egill Kristiansen¹⁰, Graham Mann⁵, Fiona O'Connor¹, Steve Platnick¹¹, Jens Redemann¹², Anja Schmidt⁵ Graeme Stephens¹³

¹Met Office Hadley Centre, UK. ²University of Exeter, UK. ³University of Reading, UK. ⁴LMD, Jussieu, France. ⁵University of Leeds. UK ⁶ULB, Belgium. ⁷University of Manchester, UK. ⁸NCAR, Boulder, USA. ⁹University of Leeds, UK. ¹⁰CICERO, Norway. ¹¹NASA GSFC, USA. ¹²NASA Ames, USA. ¹³JPL, USA



Holuhraun 19 Sept 2014 Gro B.M. Pedersen, University of Iceland, Reykjavik.



Aerosol plume 11 Sept 2014 MODIS visible image, NASA



© Crown copyright Met Office

SO2 column 1-7 Sept 2014 IASI retrieval and HadGEM3 simulation

impact on cloud effective radius



 Liquid Cloud Effective Radius relative changes, △R_{eff} [%]

 -30
 -24
 -18
 -12
 -6
 0
 6
 12
 18
 24
 30

KINNE

MAC climatology

• MAC = Max-Planck Aerosol Climatology

merging AERONET/MAN on AEROCOM ensemble backgrd



direct indirect total

MAC rad. forcing (climate impact) application

focus on forcing patterns

... as a function of time



KIPLING



Quantifying global aerosol effects on convection using the Convective Cloud Field Model (CCFM)

Zak Kipling, Laurent Labbouz, Philip Stier and Till Wagner



Established by the European Commission

Atmospheric, Oceanic and Planetary Physics, Department of Physics, University of Oxford. Contact: zak.kipling@physics.ox.ac.uk

Convective Cloud Field Model









Quantifying global aerosol effects on convection using the Convective Cloud Field Model (CCFM)

Zak Kipling, Laurent Labbouz, Philip Stier and Till Wagner



Atmospheric, Oceanic and Planetary Physics, Department of Physics, University of Oxford. Contact: zak.kipling@physics.ox.ac.uk Established by the European Commission



The aerosol–convection forcing pathway

KIRKEVAG

Preliminary estimates of Aerosol Effective Radiative Forcing in CAM5-Oslo/NorESM2

A. Kirkevåg, K. Alterskjær, A. Grini, T. Iversen, D. Olivié, M. Schulz, and Ø. Seland



CAM5-Oslo is a version of CAM5 where schemes for aerosol chemistry, physics and interaction with clouds originally developed for CAM4-Oslo/NorESM1 exist alongside the modal aerosol modules of CAM5 (e.g. MAM7). Present basis is CAM5.3, but will be updated as new CAM5 versions are released.

Sea-salt emissions has been updated and SOA and explicit nucleation is new since CAM4-Oslo. **EVA** Work on b.b POM, interactive DMS and marine biog. POM etc. not final \rightarrow only preliminary results

CAM4-Oslo

Aerosol RF and ERF

CAM5-Oslo

avg = -0.058 W m⁻²

Other models

3.0

1.5

0.0

-1.5

-3.0

20

10

(SW ARI)



SW Effective RF (ERF) may be split (according to Ghan, 2013) into

- a direct forcing term: **Direct RF =** Δ (**F Fclean**)
- a term for indirect and semi-direct effects:

Cloud RF = Δ (Fclean – Fclear-sky,clean) (SW ACI)

- a residual term: Surface albedo RF = Δ Fclear-sky,clean

KNOBELSPIESSE

Progress of the NASA Aerosol/Cloud/Ecosystems (ACE) Mission **Polarimeter Working Group instrument inter-comparison**



overall mission goal: develop / inter-compare polarimeter aerosol / cloud retrievals

Our (subset) goal: confirm level 1 (geolocated radiance/polarization) agree within uncertainties

			Channel center wavelength (nm)																
	Method	Imager?	Approximate polarimetric accuracy @reflectance=0.2	# view angles	Nadir ground resolution for ER-2 altitude	355	380	410	410 470	550	555	670	766	865	870 935	960	1593	<u>1880</u> 2263	total # obs. per pixel
AirMSPI	Photoelastic modulation	Yes	1%: Step & Stare; 0.5%: sweep; 0.25%: averaged to RSP resolution	1 to 31	7m footprint, 9m along track 'smear'				1			2		3					up to 420
PACS	Philips prisms + linear polarizers	Yes	uncharacterized	Up to ~65	37m footprint, smear?														up to 1170
RSP	Wollaston Prisms	No	0.075%	~152	277m footprint, 277m along track 'smear'				1			2		3					~4100
								indicates no polarization sensitivty											

1,2,3 indicates polarimetric comparison channels

Level 1 instrument pixel to pixel intercomparison

- Only available for AirMSPI, RSP in 3 channels (470, 660/670, 865nm)
- Level 1 = calibrated, geolocated observables (Reflectance, R, Degree of Linear Polarization, DoLP)
- Previous results: Reflectances OK, Polarimetric comparisons larger than measurement uncertainty
- New RSP data has improvements to geolocation available in version 2 data
- New AirMSPI data has improvements to calibration but not yet publically available
- New comparison is better than before, but polarimetric biases are still too large
- Upcoming work: more scenes (currently pixel N~280), investigate view angle differences

below: percentage of AirMSPI/RSP biases larger than 2x the joint uncertainty

For realistic uncertainty estimates, this should be less than 5%

Main message: polarimeters agree better than before, problems/work remains



% beyond 2x uncertainty

KOKKOLA

Contribution of water to modeled aerosol direct effect

H. Kokkola, T. Laaksoviita, A. Kirkevåg, T. Kühn, S. Romakkaniemi, A. Arola

- Aerosol optical depth is the most used parameters in model evaluation
- The contribution of water to AOD differs significantly between models



GOCART

ECHAM-HAMMOZ

0.115 Total AOD 0.076 Water AOD



1246 significantly different dry aerosol => different aerosol 1st indirect effect

Contribution of water to modeled aerosol direct effect

H. Kokkola, T. Laaksoviita, A. Kirkevåg, T. Kühn, S. Romakkaniemi, A. Arola

- In this study, we investigate **how much uncertainty comes from modelled**
 - relative humidity
 - aerosol number size distributio
 - aerosol comp / hygroscopicity
 - **comparison** between
 - models
 - satellite date (AIRS)
 - reanalysis data (NCEP-DOE)

ECHAM vs AIRS: Extinction, 1000 hPa, 2006, clear sky



KORHONEN



REgional Climate Impacts of anthropogenic Aerosols (RECIA)

Hannele Korhonen, Finnish Meteorological Institute

<u>overall objective</u>: improve the understanding on how the regional climates respond to changes in anthropogenic aerosol emissions locally and via teleconnections

<u>approach</u>: Unified aerosol and CCN climatologies; model experiments from highly idealized to more realistic

currently 3 models: MPI-ESM, EC-Earth, NorESM

We welcome other modelling groups to participate!

LACAGNIA

Aerosol Absorption over the global ocean: comparing PARASOL retrievals with AeroCom models estimates

Carlo Lacagnina and Otto P. Hasekamp et al.



PARASOL provides new information about aerosol absorption over ocean Spatial distribution of SSA simulated by AeroCom models is rather uniform and higher, compared to PARASOL

LEE



PinatubO Emulation in Multiple Models (POEMs): planned co-ordinated experiments for the SPARC Stratospheric Sulphur and it's Role in Climate initiative (SSiRC)

Lindsay Lee

I.a.lee@leeds.ac.uk

<u>Graham Mann,</u> K. S. Carslaw, M. Toohey, V. Aquila, C. Timmreck, J. M. English, R. R. Neely III





The Leverhulme Trust

Inter-model diversity exists

Larger inter-model differences in predicted extinction, both peak magnitude and decay timescale. Several factors may be important here:

- differences in the way the models inject the SO2 (injection height-range, latitude-spread)
- treatment of the chemical conversion of SO2 to H2SO4 may affect aerosol evolution
- evolution of the aerosol size distribution following growth processes and sedimentation
- different stratospheric circulation and strat-trop exchange





FIGURE 4: The intermodel diversity for a range of simulated outputs

MMPPE Proposal

Experts have chosen 8 uncertain parameters to be perturbed (3 eruption, 5 model processes) to understand the uncertainty in the chosen model outputs and their diversity across models:

- Mass of SO₂ emitted
- Injection height-range
- Injection latitude-spread
- Sedimentation velocity scaling
- Oxidation of SO₂ to H₂SO₄
- Nucleation rate scaling
- Sub-grid particle formation

Coagulation rate scaling

The uncertain parameters were chosen based on the need to better understand the aerosol optical depth (AOD) and effective radiative forcing (r_{eff}) response to the Pinatubo eruption.

When model capability does not allow perturbation of all 8 parameters a subset will be designed to allow comparability across models.

We will investigate how each output responds to the uncertain parameters and how this compares across models.

MANN (1)



Whole-atmosphere aerosol microphysics simulations of the Mt. Pinatubo eruption: Part 2: Quantifying the direct and indirect (dynamical) radiative forcings



G. W. Mann (NCAS-Climate, Univ. Leeds, U.K.), S.S. Dhomse, K. S. Carslaw, M. P. Chipperfield, L. A. Lee (Univ. Leeds, U.K.), K. M. Emmerson (CSIRO, Aspendale. Australia) L. Abraham, P. Telford, J. A. Pyle (Univ. Cambridge, U.K.), P. Braesicke (KIT, Karlsruhe, Germany), N. Bellouin (Univ. Reading, U.K.), M. Dalvi, C. E. Johnson (Met Office, Exeter, U.K.)

3. The 1991 Mount Pinatubo eruption



Satellite measurements indicate 14 to 23 Tg of SO₂ (7 to 11.5 TgS) was present in the tropical stratosphere shortly after the eruption.

The stratospheric aerosol loading peaked several months later in the range 19-26 Tg (Lambert et al., 1993). Assuming 59 to 77% sulphuric acid (Grainger et al., 1993) gives a range of 3.7 to 6.7 TgS.

Investigate the eruption's impact on the stratospheric aerosol in UKCA with runs which inject 10 & 20 Tg of SO₂ into the tropical stratosphere HadGEM-UKCA N48L60 CheS+GLOMAP





v7.3 CheS+GLOMAP N48L60: sAOD evolution vs SAGE-II sAOD & AVHRR anomaly

9. Radiative coupling: impact on dispersion

II. Radiative heating vs ERA-interim T-anomaly





CMIP5 models with prescribed volcanic forcings over-predict strat-warming.

Partly due to models not having cooling effect from easterly QBO phase. But also

prescribed volcanic forcings may not capture coarse mode aerosol and models missing cooling from dynamical reduction in stratospheric ozone.

With 10 Tg injection, UM-UKCA captures stratospheric warming with 14 Tg too high. v7.3 CheS+GLOMAP N48L60



dtemp [K] 68 hPa (singal call) 10Tg





MANN (2)



The SSiRC Historical Eruption SO2 Emissions Assessment (HErSEA): intercomparison for interactive stratospheric aerosol models



Graham Mann, Sandip Dhomse (Univ. Leeds, U.K.), Jianxiong Sheng (Harvard Univ., U.S.A.), Mike Mills (NCAR, U.S.A.)

4. SSiRC model intercomparison to assess and evaluate global models with interactive stratospheric aerosol schemes

Name		Boundary Conditions (SST, GHG, ODS etc.)					
Background (BG)	Stratospheric sulphur budget (quiescent)	Time-slice year-2000 monthly-varying	Year-2000 emissions (Granier et al, 2011)	1	10	10	Chemistry, aerosol and dynamical processes affecting quiescent stratospheric aerosol conditions.
Transient Aerosol Record (MiTAR)	Evaluate strat-aerosol properties 1998-2011	Transient 1998-2011 monthly-varying	1998-2011 emissions (Granier et al, 2011)	Up to 3 (volc SO ₂)	14	14 to 42	Understand drivers and mechanisms for observed stratospheric aerosol increase since 2000
Historical Eruption SO ₂ Emissions Assessment (HErSEA)	Perturbation to strat- aerosol for min/max SO ₂ for Pinatubo, El Chichon & Agung	Transient for each historical eruption period (1991-1995, 1982-1986, 1963-1967)	Time-varying from Granier et al., 2011) or RETRO for 1960s (Schultz et al., 2008)	6 for each (ctrl, mid & hi/lo, deep/ shallow	5	270	Assess how injected SO ₂ for historical eruptions perturbs stratospheric aerosol properties and radiative forcings in different complexity global strat-aerosol models
Pinatubo Emulation in Multiple Models (PoEMS)	Perturbed parameter ensemble of runs to quantify uncertainty in each model'ssimulated radiative forcings	Transient for Pinatubo- perturbed period (1991-1995)	1991-1995 emissions (Granier et al, 2011)	Vary 3/5/7 of 8 parameters with 7 values / parameter 21, 35 or 49.	5	105, 175 or 245 (3, 5 or 7)	Quantify sensitivity of simulated Pinatubo ERF to uncertainties in injection parameters (SO ₂ amount, injection height and latitude-spread) and to uncertainties in model processes (e.g. coagulation-rate-scaling, sedimentation-rate-scaling, SO ₂ -oxidation-rate-scaling).

Paper will be submitted to Geoscientific Model Development (Timmreck et al., 2015) describing the rationale, observations and experimental specifications for the co-ordinated intercomparison. Timeline for the experiments --- models to begin submit BG, MITAR & HErSEA later in 2015 & 16. PoEMS in 2016/7.

6. Satellite record since 1980s: stratospheric AOD and extinction profile





MANN (3)



Evaluation of tropospheric aerosol properties simulated by HadGEM-UKCA & TOMCAT-GLOMAPmode UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

<u>Graham Mann</u>, Jo Browse, Nicolas Bellouin, Colin Johnson, Mohit Dalvi, Luke Abraham, Ken Carslaw, Philip Stier, J. Rae, D. V. Spracklen, P. Telford, J. A. Pyle, F. O' Connor, G. Carver, K. J. Pringle, M. T. Woodhouse, Z. Kipling, R. West

6. AeroCom CTM & UKCA runs

Compare GLOMAP-mode simulated aerosol in HadGEM-UKCA against established TOMCAT-GLOMAP framework and observations.

TOMCAToffox T42L31 with 6-hrly monthly-mean oxidant fields (TOMCAT --- GLOMAP-mode v6 with revised modal settings as Mann et al. (2012) --- AOD & forcings can be alculated offline based on monthly fields.

--- use AEROCOM phase 1 emissions (Dentener et al., 2006)

UKCA_NRTropIsop : N96L63 v7.3 HadGEM3-A-r2.0 TropIsop w FAST-J

- --- GLOMAP-mode v6 with revised modal settings as Mann et al . (2012)
- --- diagnose AOD & aerosol direct & indirect radiative effects online every radiation timestep using double-call to radiation scheme (RADAERv2)
 --- use AEROCOM phase 2 emissions (Diehl et al., 2012)

UKCA_BEStdTrop : N96L38 v7.3 HadGEM3-A-prelim StdTrop w 2D-phot

--- GLOMAP-mode v5 with original modal settings as Mann et al . (2010)

- --- diagnose AOD & aerosol direct & indirect radiative effects online every radiation timestep using double-call to radiation scheme (RADAERv1)
- --- use AEROCOM phase 2 emissions (Diehl et al., 2012)



Simulates aerosol lifecycle resolving new particle formation and growth to climate-relevant sizes.




MARSHALL



Eruption source parameters controlling the climatic effects of volcanism UNIVER

UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

E-mail: eelrm@leeds.ac.uk

Parameter space

- Amount of SO₂ injected
- Injection altitude
- Location
- Season

Series of modelling experiments based on regions in the atmosphere where there are different **dynamical** and **chemical** regimes

= eruption height and latitude



SO₂ injections of 10,20,40,80,160,320 Tg

Lauren Marshall¹, Anja Schmidt¹, Graham W. Mann^{1,2}, Kenneth S. Carslaw¹, Jim Haywood^{3,4}, Andy Jones³

1. School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, UK 2. National Centre for Atmospheric Science, University of Leeds, UK 3. Earth System and Mitigation Science, Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, UK 4. College of Engineering, Maths and Physical Science, University of Exeter, UK



Eruption source parameters controlling the climatic effects of volcanism

E-mail: eelrm@leeds.ac.uk

Non-linear responses as we increase injection magnitude



15th June 1991

Lauren Marshall¹, Anja Schmidt¹, Graham W. Mann^{1,2}, Kenneth S. Carslaw¹, Jim Haywood^{3,4}, Andy Jones³

1. School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, UK 2. National Centre for Atmospheric Science, University of Leeds, UK 3. Earth System and Mitigation Science, Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, UK 4. College of Engineering, Maths and Physical Science, University of Exeter, UK

MICHIBATA

Evaluation of microphysical conversion processes for warm rain in the MIROC-SPRINTARS with satellite observations

Takuro Michibata and Toshihiko Takemura: Kyushu University, Japan

Content

Process Rates (Autoconversion, Accretion) Cloud Vertical Structure, SW/LW Radiation Conclusion and Future Work (PROG-RAIN in MIROC)

List of autoconversion schemes examined in this study

Schemes	Autoconversion rate $(\mathrm{kg}\mathrm{m}^{-3}\mathrm{s}^{-1})$	Models
Berry [1968]	$\frac{3.5 \times 10^{-2} L_c^2}{0.12 + 1.0 \times 10^{-12} \frac{N_c}{L_c}}$	MIROC5; NICAM-SPRINTARS
Tripoli and Cotton [1980]	$\frac{0.104 g E_{cr}}{\mu \rho_w^{1/3}} L_c^{7/3} N_c^{-1/3} H(q_c - q_{crit})$	HadGEM2; GFDL CM3
Beheng [1994]	$6.0 \times 10^{28} n^{-1.7} (L_c \times 10^{-3})^{4.7} (N_c \times 10^{-6})^{-3.3}$	ECHAM5
Khairoutdinov and Kogan [2000]	$1350 L_c^{2.47} (N_c \times 10^{-6})^{-1.79} \rho_a^{-1.47}$	ECHAM5-HAM; PNNL MMF
Liu and Daum [2004]	$\left(\frac{3}{4\pi\rho_w}\right)^2 \kappa_2 \beta_6^6 L_c^3 N_c^{-1} H(R_6 - R_{6c})$	CSIRO Mark3



Berry (1968) Tripoli+Cotton (1980) Beheng (1994) Khairoutdinov+Kogan (2000) Liu+Daum (2004)

[Brief Summary]

- 1. The Acc/Aut ratio is sensitive depending on the schemes.
- 2. There are critical biases in the vertical cloud macrophysical structure.
 - too few too blight low-cloud problem in MIROC as well
- 3. SWCRF is overestimated due to smaller cloud droplet radius in low-cloud.

[Future Work]

Need more fundamental improvements in addition to microphysical processes

 \rightarrow <u>PROG-RAIN scheme with drizzle in MIROC (ongoing)</u>

Acknowledgements: This study was supported by the Environment Research and Technology Development Fund (S-12-3) of the Ministry of the Environment, Japan.

MICHOU

TACTIC1: an aerosol climatology for CNRM-CM CMIP6 simulations

This poster presents TACTIC1 (Tropospheric Aerosols for ClimaTe In CNRM-CM) aerosol climatology for use in CMIP6 type simulations of the AOGCM CNRM climate model CNRM-CM6

 $\hfill\square$ The motivation for this work was the growing number of AOGCM/ESM with either interactive or semi-interactive aerosols

□Version 1 of the prognostic aerosol module included in CNRM-CM modified to correct some drawbacks, a 1850-2019 simulation performed with prognostic aerosols, and a monthly climatology of the AOD of 5 aerosol types, TACTIC1, derived from this simulation

---modifications of the aerosol scheme, specificities of the 1850-2019 simulation, characteristics of the TACTIC1 AOD climatology, and first results from simulations with interactive versus semi-interactive aerosols

Interest in discussing

The choice of sea salt parameterization / indirect effect parameterization
Some characteristics/drawbacks of the aerosol emissions we used / future aerosols emissions
Possible use of our TACTIC simulation outputs in the aerosol forcing field project for CMIP6
Interactive versus semi-interactive aerosols in climate simulations

MIELONEN



Does Increasing Temperature Increase Carbonaceous Aerosol Direct Radiative Effect (over Boreal Forests)?

• Tero Mielonen et al.

- estimate the effect of increasing temperatures on the aerosol direct radiative effect

- investigate the causes of the positive correlation between AOD and LST using remote sensing data (AATSR) and a climate model ECHAM-HAMMOZ

- over the Southeastern US
- over boreal regions

-estimate the significance of the negative feedback caused by a warming-induced increase in the aerosol direct radiative effect

First results:

AATSR products show a clear AOD-LST dependency over SE US



MOLLARD

Constraining aerosol optical properties in HadGEM3-UKCA using AERONET



James Mollard, Nicolas Bellouin, Ellie Highwood, Ben Johnson



- The variability of SSA and Angstrom Exponent decrease as AOD increases

Constraining aerosol optical properties in HadGEM3-UKCA using AERONET



- Compare models with AERONET in SSA/AOD and SSA/AE space



- From analysing AEROCOM differences, we use it as a method to evaluate a sensitivity study on carbonaceous aerosol refractive indices.

MORGENSTERN



THE DEEP SOUTH

Te Kōmata o Te Tonga

Improving the Modelling of Clouds & Aerosols in the Southern Ocean Region

O. Morgenstern¹, A. McDonald², M. Harvey³, R. Davies⁴

¹NIWA, Lauder, New Zealand

²U. Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

Cloud biases are a first-order problem in modelling Southern Hemisphere climate.



Cloud-radiative forcing bias in DJF (W/m²) in the NIWA-UKCA chemistry-climate model, relative to a satellite climatology (CERES-EBAF).



THE DEEP SOUTH

Te Kōmata o Te Tonga

The Clouds & Aerosols project

- Part of the Deep South National Science Challenge
- Focusses on improving the modelling of clouds in the Southern Ocean region
- Likely this will involve improved modelling of natural aerosols
- Target: Deliver an improved cloud/aerosol scheme, produce improved climate simulations

MULCAHY

Towards UKESM1:

Implementation and evaluation of the GLOMAP-Mode aerosol scheme

P. Mulcahy¹, J. Browse³ C. Johnson¹, B. Johnson¹, G.W. Mann^{2,3}, A. Jones¹, A. Sellar¹, M. Dalvi¹, K.S. Carslaw³, C. Jones^{1,2}

¹ Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, Devon, UK

- ² National Centre for Atmospheric Science, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
- ³ Institute for Climate and Atmospheric Science, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

Evaluation of aerosol simulation in UKESM





Aerosol microphysical & chemical properties







NABAT

P. Nabat¹, M.Michou¹, S.Somot¹, M. Evaluation of the CNRM-CM aerosol scheme Mallet², L.Watson¹ and D.Saint-Martin¹ in the regional climate model CNRM-RCSM ¹ Météo-France / CNRM-GAME ² Laboratoire d'Aérologie

Ê

altitude (

4000

3000

2000

1000

0.00 0.03 0.06 0.09 0.12 0.15 0.18

Extinction coefficient (km⁻¹)

- The global (CNRM-CM) and regional (CNRM-RCSM) climate models of CNRM share the same numerical code

=> taking benefit of the finer resolution in CNRM-RCSM, an evaluation of the aerosol scheme is performed with CNRM-



- Adapted from the GEMS/MACC scheme (Morcrette et al., 2009)

- 5 aerosol types :

Dynamic emissions for dust/sea-salt

ACCMIP inventaries for sulfate / BC / organic matter

- Simplified scheme to keep a low numerical cost (multiannual climate simulations)

- Aerosol deposition



Total / Dry / Wet deposition in CNRM-RCSM (21-28 June

- AOD (against satellite data and AERONET data)

Evaluation



10

10

10⁻¹⁰

10-11

0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5



NEUBAUER

Aerosol-cloud interactions in ECHAM6-HAM2 and the (A)ATSR dataset

D. Neubauer¹, U. Lohmann¹, M. Christensen², C. Poulsen²

¹ETH Zurich, ²RAL Space

- Statistical relations to infer aerosol-cloud interactions
- Level 2 Aerosol CCI and Cloud CCI data
- Analysis done on 1°x1° or 1.9°x1.9° spatial scale
- Impact of relative humidity



Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

Aerosol-cloud interactions in ECHAM6-HAM2 and the (A)ATSR dataset

D. Neubauer¹, U. Lohmann¹, M. Christensen², C. Poulsen² ¹ETH Zurich, ²RAL Space

 $d \ln \text{COD}$ ACI d In AI Model / satellite data ECHAM6-HAM₂ comparison (A)ATSR non-raining(NR)/dry(D)/unstable(US) MODIS **Environmental regime** raining(R)/dry(D)/unstable(US) composites: non-raining(NR)/dry(D)/stable(S) precipitation state raining(R)/dry(D)/stable(S) free tropospheric rel. non-raining(NR)/moist(M)/unstable(US) humidity (RH_{FT}) raining(R)/moist(M)/unstable(US) lower tropospheric stability non-raining(NR)/moist(M)/stable(S) (LTS) raining(R)/moist(M)/stable(S) **Radiative forcing estimate** -0.10 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5

OLIVIE

SLCP emission reduction : how to simultaneously improve air-quality and limit climate change?

D. Olivié, L.H. Baker, R. Cherian, W. Collins, Ø. Hodnebrog, G. Myhre, J. Quaas, M. Schulz, R.B. Skeie, and A. Stohl

Motivation

- 1) Difficulties in reducing LLGHGs emissions
- 2) Impact of some short-lived species both on climate and air-quality

Experiment with 4 AOGCMs for 2005-2050 period

- 1) Reference emission scenario (Current legislation)
- 2) Optimization scenario : new air-quality measures only implemented if they have a cooling effect according to GTP20 emission metric.

ECLIPSE project

Evaluating the Climate and Air Quality Impacts of Short-lived Pollutants

Results + sensitivity analysis

See the poster ...

OSHIMA

Impact of black carbon aging on its spatial distribution and radiative effect using a MRI global aerosol model Naga Oshima

Meteorological Research Institute, Japan Meteorological Agency, Japan.

τ_{BC} below 800hPa (days)





Apply our new parameterization of BC aging to a MRI global model. Spatial and temporal variations of τ_{BC} , not constant (24-36 hours).

East Asia :	$\tau_{\rm BC} < 1$	day
Arctic :	$\tau_{BC} = 1$	week

Spatial Distribution of BC

BC



PAN



Comparison of GFED3, QFED2 and FEER1 Biomass Burning Emissions Datasets in a Global Model

Xiaohua Pan *,1,2, Charles Ichoku ², Huisheng Bian ^{3,2}, Mian Chin ², Luke Ellison ^{4,2}, Arlindo da Silva ², Anton Darmenov ² *xiaohua.pan@nasa.gov ; ¹UMD ESSIC; ² NASA Goddard Space Flight Center; ³ UMBC JCET; ⁴ SSAI

Global Fire Map





Comparison of GFED3, QFED2 and FEER1 Biomass Burning Emissions Datasets in a Global Model

Xiaohua Pan *,1,2, Charles Ichoku ², Huisheng Bian ^{3,2}, Mian Chin ², Luke Ellison ^{4,2}, Arlindo da Silva ², Anton Darmenov ² *xiaohua.pan@nasa.gov; ¹UMD ESSIC; ²NASA Goddard Space Flight Center; ³ UMBC JCET; ⁴ SSAI





PEARCE

Nitrate Aerosol: Implications for EuropeanHannah Pearce University of LeedsAir Quality and Climate

Graham Mann, Steve Arnold, Fiona O'Connor, Steve Rumbold, Francois Benduhn and Kirsty Pringle

Motivation

- Observed dominant contribution of nitrate aerosol to European PM.
- Projected increase in relative importance of nitrate aerosol
- Recent model development (new 'hybrid' dissolution scheme in UKCA)

% change in nitrate aerosol surface conc.

Fine mode nitrate aerosol

Coarse mode nitrate aerosol



Nitrate Aerosol: Implications for European Air Quality and Climate

Hannah Pearce University of Leeds

Graham Mann, Steve Arnold, Fiona O'Connor, Steve Rumbold, Francois Benduhn and Kirsty Pringle

Ongoing Work

To continue model-observation comparison

EUCAARI Project

(European Integrated Project on Aerosol Cloud Climate and Air Quality Interactions)

Future Work

Focus on changing role of nitrate in influencing particulate matter exceedances and aerosol radiative forcings

- Post-1980 (Air Quality era)
- Future


PLATT

Aerosol measurements at Birkenes, Norway

S. M. Platt¹, K.E. Yttri¹, M. Fiebig¹, W. Aas¹

¹Department of atmosphere and climate, Norwegian Institute for Air Research (NILU), 2007 Kjeller, Norway

- Birkenes is a rural background site in southern Norway
- The Birkenes Observatory is situated downwind of major anthropogenic emission regions in Europe, and is thus well suited to monitor the outflow of air pollution from continental Europe
- Here we present an overview of aerosol measurements and preliminary ME2 source apportionment at Birkenes





Preliminary results

We present an overview of many of the newer measurements being performed at Birkenes including from:

- Aerosol composition from an aerosol chemical speciation monitor (ACSM) and ME2 analysis (see e.g. right)
- Size distribution measurements (see also the poster from Markus Fiebig)
- Quantification of PM10
- Measurement of equivalent black carbon, determination of fossil and wood burning fractions
- Aerosol composition from offline filters





Aerocom, Frascatti, October 2015. s

RAP



Results



d) Wet: 0.6 Dry: 6.2 f) Aug: 15.1 e) Δ GPP [%] due to 1xBBA Wet: 0.1 Aug: 2.8 g) h) Dry: 1.3 i) Δ NPP [%] due to 1xBBA Wet: 0.2 Aug: 5.4 k) Dry: 2.5 j) 0.5 2.0 3.0 5.0 7.0 10 15 20 30 1.0 40 50 • Amazon-basin Net Primary Productivity (NPP) enhancement due to diffuse radiation fertilisation from biomass burning estimated at 78-156 Tg C a⁻¹

 Remaining forests respond to changes in diffuse radiation by absorbing additional carbon

- this offset 33–65% of the original carbon emission from fires
- represents 8–16% of the observed carbon sink across mature Amazonian forests

• Fertilization from diffuse radiation mitigates a substantial fraction (40–50%) of the observed moisture-generated decline in NPP in drought years

RIGHI

Aerosol evaluation with the Earth System Model eValuation Tool (ESMValTool)

M. Righi, V. Eyring, J. Hendricks, J. C. Kaiser, and A. Lauer

DLR – Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, Oberpfaffenhofen (Germany)



development team





Niembro 43.44 N

4.85 W

10

 $N_{ets} = 3387$

CASTNET = 3.13 ± 2.28 EMAC-MADE = 4.62 ± 3.61

sconcso4

Surface Concentration of SO4 [µg m-3]

Station networks: IMPROVE, CASTNET, EANET, EMEP, AERONET

Aircraft campaigns: ACCESS, CIRRUS, CONCERT, CR-AVE, DC3, HIPPO, INCA, SALTRACE, TC4, Texas

Satellite data: MISR, MODIS, ESA-CCI





RUMBOLD

Ammonium nitrate aerosol in UKESM1

Steve Rumbold^{1,2,3,*} | Graham Mann^{1,4} | Hannah Pearce⁴ | Francois Benduhn^{4,5} | Kirsty Pringle⁴ | Colin Johnson³ | Fiona O' Connor³ Ken Carslaw⁴ | Dave Topping⁶ | Gordon McFiggans⁶ | Claudia Steadman⁷ | Mohit Dalvi³ | Nicolas Bellouin²

1. National Centre for Atmospheric Science | 2. University of Reading | 3. Met Office Hadley Centre | 4. University of Leeds 5. Institute for Advanced Sustainability Studies, Potsdam | 6. University of Manchester | 7. University of Edinburgh * Contact: steven.rumbold@metoffice.gov.uk; s.t.rumbold@reading.ac.uk

Introduction

Ammonium nitrate aerosol is of increasing importance in climate simulations both in terms of radiative forcing and air quality. A modal ammonium nitrate aerosol scheme is being incorporated into the UK Earth System model version 1 (UKESM1).

- Topics covered...
- Importance of nitrate aerosol
- Nitrate extension to standard GLOMAP
 - Incorporating code in UKESM
 - Strategy for nitrate radiative coupling
 - Code speed and optimisation





National Centre for Atmospheric Science









Current surface mmr results

SAND

Aerosols at the Poles

Maria Sand^{a,b} and Bjørn H. Samset^a

^a Center for International Climate and Environmental Research – Oslo (CICERO), Norway, ^b NASA Goddard Institute for Space



Arctic direct radiative forcing



SAYER

The multi-sensor Deep Blue aerosol project: recent updates

A. M. Sayer^{1,2}, N. C. Hsu¹, C. Bettenhausen^{1,3}, J. Lee^{1,4}

1: NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA 2: Goddard Earth Sciences Technology And Research (GESTAR), Universities Space Research Association, Columbia, MD, USA 3: Science Systems & Applications, Inc. Lanham, MD, USA 4: Earth System Science Interdisciplinary Center (ESSIC), University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA



Main topics: MODIS Terra stability, VIIRS data release



deepblue.gsfc.nasa.gov



Welcome to the Deep Blue aerosol project webpage

Deep Blue uses measurements made by satellite instruments orbiting the Earth to determine the amount of aerosols in the atmosphere, and the properties of those aerosols. 'Aerosols' is a catch-all term covering particles suspended in the atmosphere, including but not limited to desert dust, smoke, volcanic ash, industrial smog, and sea spray. Improving our understanding of aerosols is important for reasons related to Earth's climate, human health, and ecology, as well as many others.

This website is designed to act as a single portal to provide information to both new and experienced data users about our data sets, as well as give an overview of what we do and why we do it to non-specialists. Please use the links across the top of the page to navigate, and feel free to contact us with any questions.

Recent news relating to Deep Blue, such as new data versions or publications, are listed below. You can also **subscribe to our RSS** feed for updates.



Paper about MODIS Collection 6 Deep Blue, Dark Target, and 'merged' data sets

Example of the merging process for a MODIS Aqua granule over the Sahel. (a) A true-color image and (b) the algorithms selected for each individual retrieval. (c–e) Deep Blue (DB), Dark Target (DT) land/ocean, and merged AOD, respectively. Regions in grey lack valid AOD retrievals.

Read more



Last updated: Aug. 19, 2015 NASA Official: N. Christina Hsu Webmaster: Susannah Pearce Curator: Andrew Sayer - Earth Observatory - Sciences & Exploration - Laboratory of Atmospheres - Contact Us - Site Map - Privacy Policy & Notices - Login

SCHUTGENS (1)

Will a perfect model agree with perfect observations?



Global model

In-situ observation



ECHAM-HAM: corr od550aer (2007)

A day is defined as the 24 hours surrounding a MODIS overpass



ECHAM-HAM: corr od550aer (2007)



A day is defined by UTC

SCHUTGENS (2)

Community Intercomparison Suite

N.A.J. Schutgens, D. Watson-Parris, Z. Kipling, P. Kershaw, P. Stier



A simple tool to colocate, visualise and analyse your datasets www.cistools.net

Evaluation of ECHAM-HAM with AERONET AOT

ECHAM-HAM	1 year of 3-hourly global AOT output (2007)	NetCDF
AERONET	all available native AERONET Direct Sun lvl2 data	ASCII





0.60 0.57 0.54 0.51 0.48 0.45 0.42

0.39 0.36 0.36 (uwouyun) 0.30 0.27 un) 0.24 0

0.24 0.21 0.18

0.18 0.15 0.12 0.09 0.06 0.03 0.00

-0.06

-0.12

-0.18

-0.24

-0.30



SCHIWARZ

BC Vertical Profiles and AeroCom - A Romance

J. P. Schwarz, B. Weinzierl, B. Samset



- Three years of data
- Up to 200 hPa
- Compared to AeroCom ala HIPPO approach



- Very effective longitudinal mixing
- Order of magnitude difference observed on global scales between two periods
- AeroCom UT bias largely longitude independent.

UT BC MMR vs Longitude



SHI

AeroCom 2015, Frascati Italy

Effect of Cloud-Scale Vertical Velocity on the Contribution of Homogeneous Nucleation to Cirrus Cloud Formation and Climate Forcing

Xiangjun Shi^{1,2}, Xiaohong Liu¹

Univercity of Wyoming, Atmospheric Seciece Department Hebei Meteorological bureau, Climate Center

Which is the dominant mechanism for cirrus cloud formation? homogeneous or heterogeneous freezing



Shi et al., 2015 ACP

residual ice composition heterogeneous freezing

Cziczo et al. 2013 Science

ice number density heterogeneous freezing

Jensen et al., 2013 PANS

Climate Model results homogeneous freezing

The vertical velocity during ice nucleation process



General circulation models : ice nucleation parameterizations are derived from a rising air parcel. Updraft velocity is is assumed to be constant. Actually: Cloud parcel model shows vertical fluctuations play an important role in cirrus formation.

SHIM

Climate Effects of Aerosol-Cloud Interaction over East Asia

Sungbo Shim, Yoo-Rim Jung, Kyung-On Boo, Younghwa Byun and ChunHo Cho Korea Meteorological Administration, National Institute of Meteorological Research, Jeju, Republic of Korea

INTRODUCTION

East Asia is densely populated region over 60 percentile of the world's population and economically rapid development accompanies heaviest aerosol-burden as well, exceeding the emission levels of Western Europe and Eastern United States. Unlike the long-live GHGs, which are distributed uniformly over the globe, aerosols show different lifetime and regionally

different distribution of concentration [Ramanathan et al., 2001]. The Physical properties and chemical composition of aerosol differ considerably form region to region.

Any studies have investigated the climate effects of aerosol over East Asia from a GCM or RCM [Zhang et al., 2012; Guo et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2013]. In spite of a variety of preceding studies, the uncertainty of quantitative estimation of radiative forcing from aerosol-cloud interaction processes. Therefore, aerosol-cloud interaction and its effect of on the climate system are investigated in this studies.

EXPERIMENTS DESIGN

The simulations were conducted with the coupled ocean-atmosphere model developed by UK Met office, referred to as HadGEM2-AO. It has been run from the year of 1860 until 2005.

We perform some simulations to understand effect of anthropogenic forcing on climate change during the 20th century over East Asia.

✤ Singe forcing experiments of aerosol and GHGs are compared with historical simulation considered total forcings.

EXP.	Description
CONT	No forcing EXP, fixed pre-industrial (1860 year) condition
HIST	Total forcing (GHGs, Aerosol, Natural, Land use change)
AERO	Aerosol-only forcing, other forcings are given as fixed values
GHGS	Greenhouse gas-only forcing, other forcings are given as fixed value

AEROSOL-SUNLIGHT INTERACTION



Spatial distribution of total AOD at 550 nm observed by the MODIS satellite instruments from 2000 to 2005 year, and simulated by HadGEM2-AO climate model

AEROSOL-CLOUD INTERACTION

[Changes in decadal mean AOD at 550 nm of six aerosols over East Asia for total forcing (HIST) experiment]

To evaluate the model performance of the aerosol direct effect over East Asia, aerosol optical depth(AOD) at 550nm retrieved by MODIS satellite was compared to the results simulated by the HadGEM2-AO climate model. Simulated AOD are similar to the observed in spatial distribution and seasonal difference. In both observation and simulation, the value of AOD is higher in summer (JJA) than in winter (DJF). It is mentioned in Ju and Han [2011] that radiative forcing was strongest among the four seasons in summer (JJA). In DJF, simulated AOD is lower than the observed. This underestimation is consistent with Bellouin et al [2011] shown that HadGEM2 has the tendency of simulating the sulfate aerosol number concentration low in winter at the high latitude of northern hemisphere.

Aerosol emissions over East Asia increase rapidly in the second half of the 20th century. In the early 20th century, total AOD is mostly attributed to natural particles such as sea-salt. Later, the increase of AOD due to anthropogenic aerosol such as sulfate was substantial as a result of the industrialization. As previous studies have interest on the sulfate effect as the main component of anthropogenic aerosols [Jiang et al 2013, Liu et al 2009], consistent result are confirmed.



[JJA mean change (1980-2000 minus 1900-1920) in distributions of cloud types (units: %) based on the ISCCP classification method for (a) HIST, (b) AERO, and (c) GHG experiment]

To find out the performance of climate model, clouds were classified to 9 cloud types in accordance with optical depth and top altitude based on the ISCCP cloud classification. According to the observation data, Cirrus (CI) and Stratocumulus (SC) are main cloud types in summer of East Asia. Tang and Chen (2006) also showed the ISCCP cloud type frequently observed in summer of the East Asian monsoon area is SC. * In the late 20th century, cloud optical depth was thickened by aerosol forcing, main cloud type (SC, ST) increases in East Asia. Duan and Wu [2006] and Warren et al [2007] reported the observed trend of increasing lowlevel cloud in the late 20th century in East Asia. On the other hand, GHGs forcing experiment could not find distinct change in spatial distribution for each cloud type.

Climate Effects of Aerosol-Cloud Interaction over East Asia

Sungbo Shim, Yoo-Rim Jung, Kyung-On Boo, Younghwa Byun and ChunHo Cho Korea Meteorological Administration, National Institute of Meteorological Research, Jeju, Republic of Korea

AEROSOL EFFECT ON RADIATIVE FORCING





[Simulated climatological JJA mean change (1980-2000 minus 1900-1920) in distribution of the net radiative flux at the TOA]

[JJA mean changes (1980-2000 minus 1900-1920) in distribution of MSLP(Pa, upper), 850 hPa moisture flux(10⁶ kgm⁺s⁻¹) and moisture flux convergence(kgm²s⁻¹, middle), and vertical wind (Pa s⁻¹) bottom]

In AERO, the indirect radiative effect is estimated to -2.4 Wm² due to aerosol-cloud interaction process, larger than -1.5 Wm² for direct radiative effect. CRF effect of aerosol occupies 62% of the total aerosol effect in JJA. In the late 20th century, negative radiative flux at the top of atmosphere (all-sky) is shown over China, extending eastward, which is coincident with the spatial pattern of the summer (JJA) total AOD increased largely in HIST. Likewise, negative change in the net radiative flux at the top of the atmosphere under clear-sky condition is exhibited over land by the direct aerosol forcing. Under cloudy-sky condition, positive radiative effect appeared exceptionally in southeast china, the Korean Peninsula, and Japan. This can be explained by the feedback phenomenon that change of the atmospheric circulation and monsoon precipitation by aerosol and GHGs forcing.

The aerosol forcing changes thermal structure of atmosphere and affects circulation and transportation of vapor. The East Asian summer monsoon system is generally governed by the atmospheric pressure difference between the high pressure in the South China Sea, Northwest Pacific and the low pressure in the East Asian continent [Ding, 1994]. The surface pressure increased substantially over land due to surface cooling, whereas surface pressure in the South China Sea was decreased, thus leading to the weakening of the land-sea gradient of surface pressure. These caused the weakening of the moisture transport as well as the weakening of the ascending motion, and consequently the East Asian summer monsoon precipitation is weakened in the late 20th century.

units: % (land only)	HIST	AERO	GHGS
Monsoon Area	-2.0 (-6.8)	-1.6 (-3.5)	1.3 (-2.2)
Monsoon total precipitation	2.4 (-12.5)	-7.0 (-9.6)	6.1 (1.6)
Monsoon precipitation intensity	4.5 (-6.2)	-5.4 (-6.3)	4.7 (3.9)

IMPACT ON EAST ASIAN SUMMER MONSOON





[Simulated monsoon domain over East Asia based on the method suggested by Wang et al [2011]. Yellow (Green) shading shows monsoon domain in the early (late) 20th century. Blue shading shows monsoon domain in both periods]

The distribution of aerosol-induced precipitation changes was consistent with the results reported by Liu et al [2009] which investigated the direct radiative forcing effect of sulfate on precipitation changes of the East Asian summer monsoon area. Main properties of monsoon system such as the monsoon area, monsoon total precipitation, and monsoon intensity were investigated for the same period.

◆ Based on the method suggested by Wang et al [2011], the region where the annual range of precipitation exceeds 2.5 mm/day was defined as monsoon area, and the Nov. to Mar. average precipitation. Following Hsu et al [2013], the monsoon total precipitation is defined as the mean of summer rainfall in the monsoon area, and the monsoon intensity is defined to measure the monsoon precipitation amount per unit area.

Decreasing of monsoon area was clearly shown over land in China, indicating precipitation band in the summer monsoon area could not shift north and stay in the south of China in the late 20th century. Changes in monsoon area accompany changes in total precipitation amount and precipitation intensity. As monsoon area was reduced in AERO, total precipitation amount decreases with weak intensity. The opposite pattern in GHGS.



This research has been supported by project NIMR-2012-B-2 of the National Institute of Meteorological Research in Korea Meteorological Administration.

SOGACHEVA

Cloud post-processing for ADV/ASV AATSR aerosol retrieval: reg. aspects ¹Finnish Meteorological Institute ² University of Helsinki

Larisa Sogacheva¹, Pekka Kolmonen¹, Timo H. Virtanen¹,

Edith Rodriguez¹, Giulia Saponaro¹, Anu-Maija Sundström², Gerrit de Leeuw^{1,2}

"Old" method



"New" method

Larisa.Sogacheva@fmi.fi

For high AOD areas a "plume" detection algorithm has been developed. "Plume" is detected if in a $5^{\circ}x5^{\circ}$ area the number of pixels with AOD =[0 0.6] is below 40% If a plume is detected, only Nlim=3 (for 3x3 pixels area) test is applied For non-plume areas, both Nlim=3 and AODstd<0.2 tests (for 3x3 pixels area) are applied as cloud post-processing




STIER

Suitability of AOD as proxy for CCN



Climate Processes Group

Philip Stier

TAKEMURA

Integrated assessment on effects of shortlived climate pollutants (SLCPs) in Asia

Toshihiko Takemura

Research Institute for Applied Mechanics, Kyushu University, Japan

Kengo Sudo, Kayo Ueda, Yuji Masutomi, Shingo Watanabe, Makiko Nakata, Hiroshi G. Takahashi, Daisuke Goto, Teruyuki Nakajima

Japanese research project on SLCPs

Active evaluation of SLCP impacts and seeking the optimal pathway

- Ministry of the Environment of Japan's S-12 Project
- FY2014-2018
- PI: Teruyuki Nakajima (JAXA)



Objectives of Theme 3 of the Japanese research project PI: Toshihiko Takemura

Quantitative assessment of effects of SLCPs on climate, hydrological cycle, health, and agriculture with climate-air quality coupled models.

➡ Contribution to scientific bases for suitable reductions of SLCPs/WMGHGs.



• Developers of both SPRINTARS and MIROC.

Acknowledgements

- Supercomputer system of the National Institute for Environmental Studies, Japan.
- Environ Research and Techn Developm Fund (S-12-3) of the Ministry of the Environ Japan.
- JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 15H01728 and 15K12190.

TAN

Evaluation of SO₂ vertical distributions

Q. Tan, M. Chin, H. Bian, V. Aquila, G. Chen, A. Benson

SO2, an important aerosol precursor shows significant variations temporally and spatially. 10 sets SO₂ measurement from 8 aircraft campaigns are compared to GOCART/GMAO-GEOS-5



simulations.





Evaluation of modeled SO₂ vertical



TORRES

Improved OMI Record of 388 and 500 nm Single Scattering Albedo

Omar Torres, Hiren Jethva, Changwoo Ahn NASA-GSFC, GESTAR/USRA, SSAI

Main Algorithm Upgrades: -Introduce non-spherical particle desert dust aerosol model.

-Upgrade surface reflectance climatology

-Revisit UV-VIS spectral dependence of aerosol absorption:

Carbonaceous aerosols: Kirchstetter et al [JGR, 2004]

Desert dust aerosols Syniuk et al [JGR, 2003] Dubobik et al [JAS, 2002] 8-year SSA Summer Climatology



OMI-AERONET SSA COMPARISON





TSIGARIDIS





Organic aerosol volatility parameterizations and their impact on atmospheric composition and climate

Kostas Tsigaridis

kostas.tsigaridis@columbia.edu

		Volatility			Emis	Chemistry				
			Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary		
		noSOA	Non-volatile	Non-volatile	BB+Anthro	(0.15)*(Terp)	Aging	Aged		
	-	SOA	Non-volatile	Semi-volatile	BB+Anthro	Terp+Isop	Aging	Oxidation		
		VBS	Semi-volatile	Semi-volatile	(2.5*)(BB+Anthro)	Terp+Isop	Oxidation	Oxidation		
					Dentener et al., 2006					
			Aerosol para	ameterization						
	→	OMA	One-moment aerosols (bulk)		$\int \int To take into account the intermediate volatility$					
	→	MATRIX	Aerosol m	icrophysics	organic compounds (IVOCs); Shrivastava et al., 2008.					





Example 1: Evaluation of NO3

 $\rm NH_4 NO_3$ - thermodynamic equilibrium MARS

OLD: HNO₃ ->coarse NO₃ with const. reaction rates for Rh</Rh>90%



NEW: HNO₃ ->coarse NO₃ condens. on sea salt (γ =0.01) & dust (γ =0.02); aerosol surf. = f(Rh)



Example 2: Evaluation of mineral dust

Background dust: AOD vs. AERONET and extinction profiles vs. EARLINET climatology



Dust episodes and sources:

intensive measurement period June-July 2012 & Jan-Feb 2013 Surface concentrations (EMEP) and extinction profules (EARLINET)





Example 3: Effect of finer resolution

Some interim results for PM and AOD



TSYRO

Aerosols in the EMEP/MSC-W model

Experiments and evaluation using integrated observations

Svetlana Tsyro, Michael Schulz and Jan Griesfeller

MODEL

- Ref: Simpson et al., ACP (2012); Open source http://emep.int
- O Regional (on 50 km 7km -> 0.1x0.1°) / Global (1x1°; 0.5x0.5°)
- <u>Meteorology</u>: 3h off-line from ECMWF-IFS / <u>Chemistry</u>: 130 species, 160 reactions
- <u>Aerosols</u>: SO₄, NO₃, NH₄, EC, OA (POA+BSOA+ASOA), sea salt, min.dust
 <u>Bulk mass</u>: fine and coarse size fractions
- $\circ\,$ AOD and extinction profiles at multiple wavel lenghts
- $\,\circ\,$ Research version with MAFOR aerosol dynamics (16 sections: 1nm 10 μ m)

Observations

Air concentrations:EMEP monitoring and intensive periods, AirbaseAOD:AERONET, (satellite)Extinction profiles:Earlinet, (CALIOP)

rological

Institute

Example 1: Evaluation of NO3

 NH_4NO_3 - thermodynamic equibrium MARS

OLD: HNO₃ ->coarse NO₃ with const. reaction rates for Rh</Rh>90%



NEW: HNO₃ ->coarse NO₃ condens. on sea salt (γ =0.01) & dust (γ =0.02); aerosol surf. = f(Rh)



Example 2: Evaluation of mineral dust

Background dust: AOD vs. AERONET and extinction profiles vs. EARLINET climatology



Dust episodes and sources:

intensive measurement period June-July 2012 & Jan-Feb 2013 Surface concentrations (EMEP) and extinction profules (EARLINET)





Example 3: Effect of finer resolution

Some interim results for PM and AOD



TWOHEY

MPI-M and (IPCC 6) RF-MIP

Max-Planck for Met and IPCC Radiative forcing exercises

- the single plume concept SP
 - prescribe aerosol optical properties as function of local sources in x,y (horiz), z (vertical) and t (time)
- tropospheric anthropogenic
 - fit ant AOD with ca 10 sources (associate SSA, ASY, Ang)
 - translate ant AOD-fields in drop size reductions for low clouds
 - <u>Stephanie.Fiedler@mpimet.mpg.de</u> (at this meeting, Wed talk)
- stratospheric volcanic
 - fit AOD / reff distr. as function of eruption strength/location
 - <u>Matt.Twohey@mpimet.mpg.de</u> (this summary, no poster)

stratospheric volcanic aerosol

• approach: describe by 3 zonal mean "plumes"

$$EXT(t, \varphi, z) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} M_i(t) * \hat{H}_i(\varphi[x, y]) * \hat{V}_i(z)$$

tempoal horizontal vertical





Mt. Pinatubo example



Van NOIJE







Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute

Aerosol Modelling with EC-Earth

Twan van Noije, Philippe Le Sager, and EC-Earth Partners



TM5 vs. MODIS Collection 6 (2006)



TM5 vs. AERONET (2010)



Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute



Winker

How well can we estimate the aerosol direct radiative effect?

#61

Dave Winker, Seiji Kato, Fred Rose NASA Langley Res. Ctr.



	Ocean Clear- Sky	Global Clear-Sky	Global Cloudy-Sky	All-Sky
C3M	-3.94	-3.30	-1.93	-2.34
Oikawa et al. (2013)	-4.24	-3.79		
Matus & L'Ecuyer (2015)	-2.6	-2.6		-1.9
Loeb & Smith (2005)	-5.46	MODIS		
	-3.8	NOAA		
Yu et al. (2006)	-5.5			

Impact on TOA DRE of adjusting smoke SSA to SAFARI

Merged CALIOP and A-train data used to:

- estimate clear-sky/all-sky aerosol DRE from observations
- estimate impacts of uncertainties in aerosol absorption and OD



New CALIPSO Level 3 aerosol product to be released October 14



- Replaces β-version, many improvements
- Now report clear-sky, above-cloud, and allsky extinction
- Now includes extinction profiles for
 - Total aerosol
 - Smoke, Dust, Polluted Dust







XUE



AOD Datasets



- <u>http://www.icare.univ-lille1.fr/drupal/archive/?dir=CCI-Aerosols</u>
 - AATSR ADV.V1.42
 - AATSR ORAC.V2.02
 - AATSR SU.V4.2



ange orested 25.02.2014 IS: 9 inge orested 25.02.2014 IS: 7 Show Win hovering over image Edf Subset "MyList" | Show URL to current | iter models # NegZor: Models/Stations on year: ALLYEARS * Models on variables: ALLYARS *



Ground measurements – AERONET and CARSNET

- Yong Xue, et al.
- Email: y.xue@londonmet.ac.uk





Comparisons of three AATSR AOD products for mainland China in 2008

		Ν	MSA	MAA	MBE	MAE	RMSE	RMB	СС
AATSR SU	AERONET	200	0.343	0.347	0.004	0.089	0.12	0.990	0.855
	CARSNET	129	0.254	0.345	0.091	0.102	0.117	0.737	0.880
	AERO&CARS	329	0.308	0.346	0.038	0.094	0.124	0.891	0.849
AATSR ADV	AERONET	201	0.250	0.312	0.062	0.090	0.1	0.803	0.878
	CARSNET	115	0.191	0.343	0.152	0.158	0.13	0.556	0.784
	AERO&CARS	316	0.229	0.323	0.095	0.115	0.121	0.707	0.824
AATSR ORAC	AERONET	323	0.378	0.338	-0.041	0.146	0.25	1.120	0.568
	CARSNET	350	0.337	0.363	0.026	0.201	0.334	1.076	0.647
	AERO&CARS	673	0.357	0.351	-0.007	0.175	0.247	1.018	0.603

ZHANG

The updated effective radiative forcing of major anthropogenic aerosols and their effects on global climate at present and in the future

Hua Zhang¹, Shuyun Zhao¹, Zhili Wang², Xiaoye Zhang², and Lianchun Song¹

1.Laboratory for Climate Studies, National Climate Center, China Meteorological Administration, Beijing, China;

2. Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, Beijing, China

Why and how we do this work?

- 1. Effective radiative forcing (ERF) was newly defined by IPCC AR5, because fast adjustments in the troposphere and on land should be distinguished with climate responses and added onto forcing in the application of predicting long-term climate, especially for short-lived factors, e.g. aerosols.
- 2. Though the climatic effects of aerosols were studied in many specific regions, it is still valuable to study this problem from a global perspective.



A two-moment cloud microphysical scheme developed by Morrison and Gettelman (2008) has been incorporated into BCC_AGCM2.0.1_CUACE/Aero instead of the one-moment bulk cloud microphysical scheme used in the original model by Wang et al. (2014).

The effective radiative forcing of major anthropogenic aerosols (SF, BC, and OC)



For anthropogenic aerosol the total ERF is -2.49 W m⁻², with ERFari -0.30 W m⁻² and ERFaci -2.19 W m⁻². (S U ERF is -2.37 W m⁻², BC Erf is 0.12 W m⁻², and OC ERF -0.31 W m⁻².)



The upper four figures show the 1850-2010 ERF of total anthropogenic aerosols, SF, BC, and OC. The lower three figures briefly show how anthropogenic aerosols influence tropical precipitation through affecting mid and high latitude temperature and meridional cell.

Please see my poster for detail information.