# MISR

Satellite Aerosol Air Mass Type Mapping, And its Role in the Global Picture

**Ralph Kahn** NASA Goddard Space Flight Center and the MISR Team JPL and GSFC

### http://www-misr.jpl.nasa.gov

- <u>Nine</u> CCD push-broom <u>cameras</u>
- <u>Nine view angles</u> at Earth surface: 70.5° forward to 70.5° aft
- <u>Four spectral bands</u> at each angle: 446, 558, 672, 866 nm
- Studies Aerosols, Clouds, & Surface

# Eight Years of Seasonally Averaged Mid-visible Aerosol Optical Depth from MISR



... includes bright desert dust source regions

MISR Team, JPL and GSFC

### **MISR-AERONET AOT** Comparison for 3,995 Coincidences

Stratified by expected aerosol air mass type



Kahn, Gaitley et al., JGR in preparation

### MISR-MODIS Aerosol Optical Depth Comparison [MISR V22 vs. MODIS/Terra Collection 5; January 2006 Coincident Data]



Over-ocean regression coefficient **0.90** Regression line slope 0.75MODIS QC  $\geq 1$  Over-land regression coefficient 0.71Regression line slope 0.60 MODIS QC = 3

Kahn, Nelson, Garay et al., TGRS 2009 in press

# **MISR-MODIS** Coincident AOT **Outlier Clusters**



Dark Blue [MISR > MODIS] – N. Africa Mixed Dust & Smoke
 Cyan [MODIS > MISR, AOD large] – Indo-Gangetic Plain Dark Pollution Aerosol
 Green [MODIS >> MISR] – Patagonia and N. Australia MODIS Unscreened Bright Surface

Kahn et al., TGARS 2009, in press

# **MISR Retrieval Status Distribution**

Overall, about **15%** of Earth's surface produces successful MISR automatic aerosol retrievals

Dark blue = Ocean retrieval Light blue = Land retrieval



Kahn, Nelson, Garay et al., TGRS, 2009 in press

From experience with MISR & MODIS:

For global, ~ 1 °× 1 °AOD, in general, MISR data need to be aggregated to ~ **3-month sampling** to converge with MODIS

### Global Distribution of MISR & MODIS *Coincident*, Retrieved AOD

Overall, **6% to 7%** of overlapping observations produce *coincident*, MISR & MODIS aerosol retrievals

Some coincident coverage over much of the planet

Point density varies

- Desert
- Snow & Ice
- Cloud
- Polar night
- Glint



# **July 2006**

### Matched MISR/MODIS



### MISR Only

### MODIS Only (*within* MISR swath)

Kahn, Nelson, Garay et al., TGRS, 2009 in press

### MISR-MODIS-AERONET **Sampling** Differences [Ascension Island 18 February 2005]



Clean, maritime aerosol air mass, but AOT changes 60% across RH boundary

Using any one of these to represent the entire region AOT --> large errors Taken together, they give a better picture...

Sampling Effects is a continuing story...

### Smoke from Mexico -- 02 May 2002

<u>Aerosol:</u> Amount Size Shape



Medium Spherical Smoke Particles

### **Dust** blowing off the Sahara Desert -- 6 February 2004



# **MISR-retrieved** Aerosol Types

[Lowest Residual Mixtures; AOT>0.15]



### **Biomss Burning** N. Summer & Autumn Events



N. Spring & Summer Events



# MISR Angstrom Exponent Validation vs. AERONET



Kahn, Gaitley, et al JGR, in preparation

# MISR **SSA** Validation vs. AERONET



Kahn, Gaitley, et al JGR, in preparation

### SAMUM Campaign Morocco – June 04, 2006



### MISR SAMUM Aerosol Air Masses (V19) - June 04, 2006 Orbit 34369, Path 201, Blocks 65-68, 11:11 UTC



- A dust-laden density flow in the SE corner of the MISR swath
- High SSA, ANG & Fraction Spherical region SE of Ouarzazate, includes Zagora

Kahn et al., Tellus 2009

# With <u>*current*</u> technology, we are aiming for Regional-to-Global



Global, Monthly Aerosol Maps Based on Expected MISR Sensitivity

The examples shown here are simulated from aerosol transport model calculations...

- With MISR *About a dozen Aerosol Air Mass type distinctions*, based on 3-5 size bins, 2-4 bins based on SSA, and spherical vs. non
- Sensitivity depends on conditions; *AOD* >~0.15 needed, etc.
- → Adding *NIR & UV* wavelengths, *Polarization* should increase this capability

Kahn et al., JGR 2001

Pre-Launch, Model-Derived Aerosol Air Mass Types				
CLASSIFICATION	<b>Component 1</b>	<b>Component 2</b>	<b>Component 3</b>	<b>Component 4</b>
1. Carbonaceous +	<u>Sulfate</u>	<u>Sea Salt</u>	<u>Carbonaceous</u>	Accum. Dust
<b>Dusty Maritime</b>				
1a.	0.67	0.13	0.10	0.10
1b.	0.41	0.13	0.27	0.19
1c.	0.40	0.32	0.17	0.11
2. Dusty Maritime +	Sulfate	Sea Salt	Accum. Dust	Coarse Dust
<b>Coarse Dust</b>				
2a.	0.52	0.17	0.21	0.10
2b.	0.29	0.13	0.39	0.19
3. Carbonaceous +	<u>Sulfate</u>	Sea Salt	Carbonaceous	Black Carbon
<b>Black Carbon Maritin</b>	ne			
3a.	0.51	0.18	0.26	0.05
3b.	0.35	0.10	0.47	0.08
4. Carbonaceous +	Sulfate	Accum. Dust	Coarse Dust	Carbonaceous
<b>Dusty Continental</b>			1	
4a.	0.61	0.21	0.05	0.10
4b.	0.40	0.35	0.09	0.16
4c.	0.22	0.51	0.16	0.11
5. Carbonaceous +	Sulfate	Accum. Dust	Carbonaceous	Black Carbon
<b>BC Continental</b>				
5a.	0.59	0.12	0.23	0.06
5b.	0.25	0.12	0.54	0.09
5c.	0.44	0.23	0.26	0.07 Kahn et al., JGR

•

### **Measurement Synthesis:** Aerosol Short-wave Direct Radiative Forcing



Outgoing zonal TOA fluxes calculated with Monte-Carlo Aerosol-Cloud-Radiation model constrained with *MISR AOD*, *AERONET* particle properties, *GOCART* interpolation, and using *four choices of cloud data* from ISCCP and CERES (hourly monthly and monthly mean).

Results are *compared to CERES* and validated using BSRN.

"Overall, such agreements suggest that global data sets of aerosols and cloud parameters released by recent satellite experiments (MISR, MODIS and CERES) meet the required accuracy to use them as input to simulate the radiative fluxes within instrumental errors." -- Kim & Ramanathan JGR 2008

### **Over-Land Aerosol Short-wave Radiative Forcing w/Consistent Data**



Y. Chen et al. JGR 2009

### **Air Quality:** MISR Column AOD + GEOS-Chem AOD Fraction in the BL



Van Donkelaar et al. JGR 2006

### **<u>Assimilating</u>** MODIS Over-Ocean AOD into the NAAPS Operational Aerosol Forecast Model

**Filtering & Empirical Corrections** to MODIS Collection 4 AOD - assimilating the *best* data produces forecast improvements

- Quality Tests Use only QC=2, 3; AOD < 3.0; Cloud Fr. < 80%; Removes ~30% of data
- **De-spike** Remove ~10% of data, where 3x3 pixel Standard Error exceeds threshold
- ~ 25,000 AERONET coincidences used to assess MODIS
- Linear relationship for mid-visible AOD < 0.6, slope >~ 0.92
- Wind speed [6 m/s assumed] glint & whitecap lower BC
  - -- AOD correction based on NOGAPS wind speed (~  $\pm 0.02$ )
  - -- Use correlation coeffs. as functions of glint angle
- Cloud contamination increases with cloud fraction
  - -- Use MODIS cloud fraction to empirically correct AOD
- Aerosol microphysical properties correlate w/fine-mode fraction for AOD > 0.2
  - -- AOD underestimated for low SSA particles (smoke & pollution)
  - -- AOD overestimated for non-spherical dust

Significant forecast improvement for at least 48 hrs – Zhang et al. JGR 2008



# **Constraining Aerosol Sources, Transports, & Sinks**

Complementary MISR & MODIS AOD; Saharan Dust Plume over Atlantic June 19-23, 2000



Contours: AOT=0.15 (yellow); AOT=0.5 (purple)

Kalashnikova and Kahn, JGR 2008

# MISR-MODIS-NAAPS (July 4, 2000)



### MISR and MODIS AOD

### NAAPS Dust

### **NAAPS dust plume extent predictions:**

- In qualitative agreement with MISR & MODIS
- Magnitudes differ... constrains dust Source Strength & Removal Rate

Kalashnikova et al., 2008

### **Atlantic Transported Dust Plume Climatology** [*In Development*]



### MISR + MODIS AOD Map

AOD Contoured at 0.15 & 0.5 to map Extent & Properties

# Bodele Depression Chad June 3, 2005 Orbit 29038



Dust is injected near-surface...

alper

MODIS

Kahn et al., JGR 2007

### **Transported Dust Plume**

### Atlantic, off Mauritania March 4, 2004 Orbit 22399



Transported dust finds elevated layer of relative stability...

Kahn et al., JGR 2007

### Oregon Fire Sept 04 2003 Orbit 19753 Blks 53-55 MISR Aerosols V17, Heights V13 (no winds)



### Detail of Wildfire Source Region Oregon Fire Sept 04 2003



### MISR Nadir 275 m Image





**MODIS Image + Fire Power** 



**Very Simple Plume Parcel Model** 

→ Broad swath + high spatial resolution needed to characterize sources

# Wildfire Smoke Environmental Impact





# MISR and MODIS data are changing the way smoke is represented in Chemical Transport Models (CTMs), used to predict air quality and climate impacts.

- MISR stereo-derived smoke plume heights are showing that between 10% and 30% of wildfires inject smoke above the near-surface atmospheric boundary layer (ABL) in many regional studies.
- Previously, most CTMs represented smoke sources as injecting smoke only into the ABL.
- Smoke injected above the ABL travels farther and remains airborne longer, increasing environmental impacts.
- New relationships between smoke injection height, atmospheric stability profile, fuel type, and MODIS fire radiant energy, being developed, will help extrapolate injection heights to the much larger MODIS coverage.

R. Kahn, Y. Chen, D. Nelson, et al., GRL 2008

### **Wildfire Smoke Plume Database** [In Development]



Percent of plumes >0.5 km *above BL*, stratified by year and vegetation type [North America]

http://www-misr2.jpl.nasa.gov/EPA-Plumes/

Val Martin, et al., ACPD 2009

### Wildfire Smoke Plume Database [In Development]





### http://www-misr2.jpl.nasa.gov/EPA-Plumes/





**N. America** 2002, 2004-2007

# **Africa** 2005, 2006

David Nelson, et al., 2009

# MISR Aerosol Product Applicability

- On a *Monthly*, *Global* basis, the MISR Aerosol Data Set provides *Limited Statistical Representation* of AOT & Type
  - -- Cloud-Free Bias
  - -- High-AOT Bias for Aerosol Type
  - -- Overall **Sampling** gradients, plumes, diurnal variations
  - For some applications, this *is NOT critical* 
    - -- Plume Heights
    - -- AOT contours to constrain Aerosol Transports
    - -- Aerosol Air Mass Type Mapping



WTC Smoke Plume Heights



MISR & MODIS AOD



NAAPS Dust



MISR UAE-2 Aerosol Air Masses

# **Aerosol-Climate Prediction**

