Comparison of GEOS-Chem-APM and CAM-Chem-APM Simulated Cloud Droplet Number Concentrations with MODIS Retrievals

Gan Luo and Fangqun Yu ASRC, SUNY-Albany

the 2018 AeroCom/AeroSat workshop, College Park, MD Oct 19th, 2018

Supported by NASA NASA , NSF



Introduction

There are still large uncertainties of aerosol indirect forcing



Emission flux → aerosol number and size → cloud droplet number and size → cloud radiative forcing

CDNC is a key variable associated with aerosol indirect radiative effects which have large uncertainties and low level of understanding One of the most challenging parts is how to map CDNC globally

Model simulation? Satellite retrievals?

Model Features

SP: 40 bins; Sea-salt: 20 bins; Dust: 15 bins; BC: 15 bins; OC: 15 bins Coating of SP on primary particles



State-of-the-art new particle formation schemes and aerosol microphysics (Yu et al., ACPD, 2018)

GEOS-Chem-APM: size-resolved aerosol microphysics in GEOS-Chem; NOx-Oxhydrocarbon-aerosol-Br chemistry mechanism; HEMCO emission; drove by MERRA2 atmospheric reanalysis

CAM-Chem-APM: size-resolved aerosol microphysics in CAM-Chem; MOZART tropospheric inorganic chemistry mechanism + two-product SOA formation; ACCMIP emission; nudging with MERRA2 atmospheric reanalysis

Simulated Aerosol Mass

GEOS-Chem-APM



Aerosol Number > 10 nm



CCN number concentration



MODIS retrieved CDNC

MODIS provides LWP, ER, CF, CTT, SZ **CDNC** is not directly provided by MODIS

$$N_d = \sqrt{\Gamma_{\rm eff}} \frac{\sqrt{10}\tau^{1/2}}{4\pi \rho_w^{1/2} r_e^{5/2} k}$$

τ (COD), r_e (ER), Γ_{eff} (effective lapse rate) k (the skewness and dispersion of the cloud droplet size distribution) ρ_w (water density)

Liquid Cloud Retrieval Fraction > 0.8; Cloud top temperature > 268K; Solar Zenith < 65° (Bennartz at al., 2007, 2017; McCoy at al., 2017, 2018)



Bands: 1.6, 2.1, and 3.7 µm



Levizzani et al., 2003

Band6 (1.6 μ m) is deeper than Band20 (3.7 μ m)

Re_{3.7} < Re_{2.1} < Re_{1.6} is not well explained (King and Vaughan, 2012)

1.6 µm: better representation of column cloud



Sampling of Retrievals



Maps of CDNC from Satellite and Model





High concentrations are located at continental and outflow regions

CAMAPM > MODIS > GCAPM

GCAPM significantly underestimate outflow

Underestimation over remote marine

Signals of Seasonal Variation

JAN

APR

JUN

OCT







60E

120E



150 100 60 -20 -1 --1 -20 -40 -60 -100

180

GEOS-Chem-APM



GCAPM_{APB}: +Δ=15.8, -Δ=-11.6, |Δ|=13.5 % 40N 150 100 60 40 20 1 --1 -20 -40 -60 -100 20N Latitude 20S 150 150 40S 180 120W 60W 60E 120E 180 0 Longitude



CAM-Chem-APM







Signals of Seasonal Variation

JAN



JUN

OCT









GEOS-Chem-APM



GCAPM_{APB}: +Δ=23.7, -Δ=-19.9, |Δ|=21.6 % 40N 150 100 60 40 20 -1 --1 -20 -40 -60 -100 20N Latitude 205 40S 180 120W 60W 60E 120E 180 0 Longitude





CAM-Chem-APM









Vertical Profile of CDNC

170

140

120

10







GCAPM Zonal mean CDNC: JUL



CAM-Chem-APM





Vertical CDNC: View from Satellite



CloudSat Level 2 CDNC



Thank You

Both GEOS-Chem-APM and CAM-Chem-APM captured observed aerosol mass and number

MODIS, GEOS-Chem-APM, and CAM-Chem-APM shown similar global distribution of CDNC

High at continental and outflow regions

Retrieved and simulated CDNCs have similar signal of seasonal variation

Models can fill the missing parts of CDNC in satellite products but need additional validations

Vertical profile of CDNC is important for cloud forcing Available satellite product: CloudSat Level 2 Long-term and globally validation of model results

Supported by NASA NASA , NSF

