

# WHY

**What is the current skill for aerosol in global models and for aerosol retrievals of satellites?**  
 Simulations of the aerosol climatic impact in global models have many degrees of freedom. An intermediate product, here the attenuation of sunlight by aerosol (*the aerosol optical depth*) is compared to demonstrate skill. For a complete yearly cycle, monthly aerosol optical depth averages of **8 models** (all models distinguish among five different aerosol types) are compared to satellite retrievals and data from a ground-based network.



Stefan Kinne and Authors  
 MPI for Meteorology, Hamburg

## Monthly averages of aerosol properties global fields for aerosol optical depth [model vs. measurements]

MODELS				DATA			
Models	Resolution	Simulation	Authors	Satellite-data	Method	Data-Period	Authors
♦ EC - ECHAM4 (GCM)	3.8/3.8deg	50yr avg	Feichter/ Lohmann / Schulz	Mt - 1.choice: MODIS (.55µm)	VIS/n-IR refl.	(2001)	Chu / Kaufman
♦ GR - Grantour (GCM)	5.0/5.0deg	1yr avg	Herzog / Penner	2.choice: TOMS (.55 µm)	UV-reflect.	(1979-2001)	Torres
♦ NC - NCAR (GC/TM)	1.9/1.8deg	(95-00)	Collins / Rasch	Ground-data	Method	Data-Period	Authors
♦ GO - GOCART (CTM)	2.0/2.5deg	(90, 96, 97)	Chin / Ginoux	Aer -100 sites: AERONET (.55µm)	attenuation	(1998-2001)	Holben/Tanre
♦ CC - CCSR (CTM)	2.8/2.8deg	(90)	Takemura / Nakajima				
♦ GI - GISS (GCM)	4.0/5.0deg	3yr avg	Koch / Tegen				
♦ MI - MIRAGE (GC/TM)	2.8/2.8deg	(6/94-5/95)	Ghan / Easter				
♦ UL - ULAQ (GCM)	10/22.5deg	3yr avg	Pitari				
[separate processing of sulfate, organic carbon, black carbon, dust, sea-salt]							

## Month by Month

