

new ideas ...

session 2

focus !

- **How to make better use of data capabilities?**
 - need to use observations in a more systematic way
 - at least focus on particular regions or seasons
 - with interpretation by mesoscale models ?
 - possibly look at individual sites
 - with interpretation by LES models ?
 - collaborate with other communities
 - actively participate in planning focused of (long-term) experimental setups
 - commit to data-use

local global is not good enough

- **stratify global data for analysis (space, time)**
- **how ?**
 - **test model performance ‘at the slowest pitch’ - the best sampled regions (US, EU)**
- **how can we dig deeper into the models and validating model?**
 - **isolate process in space and time**
 - **identify cancellation of error cases**

future sub-groups **items** (1)

- **how to reduce uncertainty in forcing ?**
 - which variables and relationships are essential ?
- **how to understand temporal trends**
 - commitment of ALL models to do the ‘hindcast’
 - use model (ensemble) to understand / interpret
- **what are near/far away source differences**
 - why do model underestimate Arctic Haze
 - missed biogenic sources? transport ? emissions?
 - do we have data ? (Barrow since 1988 sufficient?)

future sub-groups **items** (2)

- **how to improve the emission inventories?**
 - is inverse modeling a solution for corrections?
 - collaborate...
- **how does climate sensitivity relate to (aerosol) radiative forcing?**
 - update Jeff Kiehl's approach with AeroCom models
- **how to test aerosol-precip. assumptions?**
 - single well equipped sites hold more quantitative promise than Cloudsat data
 - stratify data on precip type according to model

tools

- **better inventory (description) of modeling**
 - aerosol modules
 - climate modeling host
 - model input (e.g. emissions)
 - ancillary data
- **can we come up with a more appropriate set of metrics?**
 - co-variance
 - quantitative scores
 - ... but how to avoid mis-conceptions